Legal Fallacies of Antipsychotic Drugs

Steven K. Erickson, JD, LLM, PhD, J. Richard Ciccone, MD, Steven B. Schwarzkopf, MD, J. Steven Lamberti, MD, and Michael J. Vitacco, PhD

Advances in the biological sciences have dramatically improved the understanding of schizophrenia and related psychotic illnesses. One of the most compelling findings is the substantial degree to which cognition is impaired in these illnesses and the remedial effects that antipsychotic drugs have in treating these cognitive impairments. Despite these promising discoveries, legal cases and scholarship remain replete with pejorative associations with antipsychotic drug action. References to antipsychotic medications as mind-altering drugs and their effects as "synthetic sanity" misconstrue the beneficial effects these medicines have on cognition. We review the prevailing legal attitude of antipsychotic medications and contrast these views with prevailing scientific knowledge. We conclude that legal opinion is misinformed about the effects of antipsychotic medications on cognition.

J Am Acad Psychiatry Law 35:235-46, 2007

One of the persisting quagmires in modern psychiatry and law has been the disparity between current scientific knowledge regarding mental illnesses and legal scholarship, court decisions, and public policy regarding these illnesses. Within the past two decades in particular, the surge of scientific information regarding the pathogenesis of schizophrenia and related illnesses-aided by multiple neuroimaging techniques¹; genetic studies^{2,3}; and neurodevelopmental,⁴ amino-acid, and oxidative-stress models⁵ of psychiatric illness—has greatly expanded the knowledge base and confidence within psychiatry as to the nature of these illnesses.⁶ Among the most prominent of these advances has been an appreciation of the importance of negative symptoms,^{7,8} impaired cognition,⁹⁻¹¹ and the therapeutic qualities of antipsychotic medications beyond treating the overt, positive symptoms of psychosis.^{12,13}

These developments build on the growing understanding that untreated psychosis engenders extensive detrimental consequences related to prognosis,^{14,15} while consistent treatment with antipsychotic medications is associated with improved long-term outcomes.^{16–18} Consequences of treatment nonadherence include a fourfold increase in the risk of suicide,¹⁹ a near fourfold increase in relapse,²⁰ and an increased risk of violent behavior.²⁰ In addition, nonadherence is associated with increased rates of hospitalization, use of emergency psychiatric services, arrests, violence, victimizations, poorer mental functioning, poorer life satisfaction, greater substance use, and more alcohol-related problems.^{21,22} The rate of treatment nonadherence among patients with psychotic disorders varies widely, but a recent meta-analysis places the rate at about one-quarter of all patients.²³

Schizophrenia is unquestionably a disease of the brain. A plethora of neuropathological studies have demonstrated that it is associated with substantial anatomical and functional abnormalities in the brain.^{24–28} These include volumetric loss of gray matter in the frontal lobes,^{29,30} enlarged lateral ventricles,^{31,32} and atrophic temporal and prefrontal lobes.³³ While the absence of gliosis in these illnesses places them outside the domain of traditional neuro-degenerative disorders, evidence of neuronal atrophy and apoptosis,^{34,35} decreased neuropil,³⁶ abnormal neuronal density,^{37,38} and progressive structural brain changes^{39,40} suggests a progressive neurodevelopmental disorder with plausible atypical neurodegenerative aspects.^{39,41}

Despite these compelling findings, the law remains replete with negative associations between

Dr. Erickson is a MIRECC Fellow, Yale University/VA Healthcare Network, West Haven, CT; Dr. Ciccone is Director, Psychiatry and Law Program, and Professor, Department of Psychiatry, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY; Dr. Schwarzkopf is Clinical Associate Professor, Department of Psychiatry, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY; Dr. Lamberti is Director, Long-Term Care Program, University of Rochester Medical Center, and Associate Professor of Psychiatry, Department of Psychiatry, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY; and Dr. Vitacco is Associate Director of Research, Mendota Mental Health Institute, Madison, WI. Address correspondence to: Steven K. Erickson, JD, LLM, PhD, Yale University/VA Healthcare Center, 950 Campbell Avenue, Bldg. 36, West Haven, CT 06516. E-mail: steven.erickson@ yale.edu

psychotic illnesses and effective treatments, especially antipsychotic medications. The case of Sell v. United States⁴² neglected any meaningful discussion regarding the propensity of antipsychotic medications to improve cognitive abilities in persons with schizophrenia and related psychotic disorders and the detriment that patients incur when allowed to forgo proper psychiatric treatment. The Sell decision continues an enduring skepticism by the courts and legal scholars toward psychiatric treatment, which is evident by its continued reference to antipsychotic medications as "mind-altering drugs"43 that produce "synthetic sanity,"44 denoting a misconstrued appreciation for the pathogenesis of psychotic illnesses, the meaning of recovery from a biomedical perspective, and the benefits of pharmacological agents used in the treatment of psychoses.

In this review, the legal approach toward antipsychotic medications will be explored by focusing on case law and legal scholarship surrounding involuntary administration of antipsychotic medications to incompetent defendants, prison inmates, and civilly committed patients. Current scientific understanding regarding psychotic illnesses, particularly cognition, and the capacity of antipsychotic medications to improve cognitive abilities will be examined. Furthermore, the hazards of untreated psychosis will be discussed, particularly in light of the emerging neuropsychiatric literature on the phenomenon of duration of untreated psychosis (DUP) and neurotoxicity through glutamate dysregulation in psychotic illnesses. Finally, a call for a more informed public policy and legal perspective on severe mental illnesses will be discussed, focusing on diminishing the continued stigma associated with these illnesses that current legal authority, represented by cases such as *Sell*, inadvertently perpetuate by their continued depreciatory position toward effective treatments.

Seminal Legal Cases

Competency to Stand Trial

A fundamental concept of American criminal law is that defendants cannot be tried for any crime unless they have a factual and rational understanding of the charges against them, a rudimentary knowledge of the criminal proceedings, and the ability to assist their attorneys in their defense. Known as competence to stand trial, this doctrine evolved from 17thcentury English jurisprudence and has been firmly incorporated into American criminal law as a constitutional right. As such, it is unsurprising that competency evaluations remain one of the most common areas of forensic psychiatry practice.⁴⁵ Its cornerstone in both forensic practice and criminal law reflects the strong penchant in American jurisprudence toward individual culpability and moral blameworthiness.⁴⁶

Criminal defendants can be found incompetent to stand trial for a variety of reasons, including stable characteristics such as low intelligence levels. However, most competency deficits result from severe mental disorders, usually psychotic illnesses, that are fluid in nature and amenable to treatment.⁴⁷ The long-recognized principal method for treating psychotic illnesses is pharmacotherapy with antipsy-chotic medications.^{48,49} Unfortunately, as many clinicians, researchers, and family members of those afflicted with these devastating illnesses have known for decades, psychosis frequently entails a loss of insight and deluded thinking that leaves the afflicted person unable to understand the benefits of treatment.⁵⁰ Although antipsychotic medications are effective in restoring rationality in persons with psychosis, denial of illness and paranoia often necessitate involuntary treatment. Such treatment, unsurprisingly, is often necessary for competency restoration, and pharmacotherapy is the chief method of restoration.⁵¹

Perhaps the quintessential case that provides insight into the court's concerns regarding involuntary administration of antipsychotic medications for restoration of competency is Riggins v. Nevada, decided in 1992.⁵² Riggins was convicted of murder and sentenced to death. Upon appeal, he claimed that the denial of his motion during trial to suspend administration of thioridazine infringed on his constitutional right to privacy and presentation of his presumably psychotic demeanor during his insanity defense. Justice O'Connor, writing for the majority, held that the forcible administration of antipsychotic medication violated Riggins' Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment rights. The Court held that testimony by defense experts regarding the alleged disabling effects of thioridazine on Riggins' ability to interact with counsel, produce testimony, or comprehend the trial proceedings was pervasive and that Riggins should have enjoyed the right to forgo treatment, allowing the jurors "to assess Riggins' demeanor fairly" (Ref. 52, pp 137–8). The strong presumption that antipsychotic medications interfere with the defendants' ability to communicate with their attorneys and present their "true" demeanor is a theme passionately endorsed by many legal scholars.^{53–55}

Eleven years later, in Singleton v. Norris,44 the Eighth Circuit considered whether a death row inmate could be restored to competency involuntarily after adjudication, to face the death sentence. A sharply divided court held that such practice was constitutionally permissible. Judge Heaney, writing for the dissent in a revealing opinion regarding the legal perception of antipsychotic medications, called Singleton's restored competency "artificial" and that "drug-induced sanity is not the same as true sanity." The dissent also cited as authoritative several legal scholars who claimed that "despite their beneficial effects, antipsychotic drugs merely mask the debilitating symptoms of major mental disorders" and provide only "synthetic sanity" (Ref. 44, p 1034; emphasis added).

Again in 2003, the courts ruled on involuntary administration of antipsychotic medications and competency in the decisive case, mentioned earlier, of Sell v. United States.42 In Sell, the United States Supreme Court considerably limited the practice of involuntary restoration by citing its concerns that antipsychotic medications can infringe on a defendant's constitutional rights under the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments. Justice Breyer, writing for the majority and citing the American Psychological Association's amicus curie brief claiming that psychosis can resolve without pharmacologic interventions, held that the sedation accompanying administration of antipsychotic medications can "interfere" with defendants' communication with their attorneys, prevent them from "rapidly reacting" to trial developments, and diminish the expression of emotions (Ref. 42, pp 185–6). Consequently, the Court held, involuntary administration of antipsychotic medications for purposes of restoration may only occur in limited circumstances. The requirements being that: (1) the administration of psychotropics must be for an important governmental interest; (2) they must directly further that interest; (3) it must be "substantially likely" that administration of psychotropics will restore competency; (4) the psychotropics must be "unlikely" to interfere with the ability of a defendant to communicate with his/her attorney; (5) less intrusive measures are unlikely to restore competency; and (6) the medication must be medically appropriate.

Prison Inmates With Psychotic Disorders

In 1990, the United States Supreme Court held in Washington v. Harper,43 that inmates with severe mental illnesses, who are deemed to be dangerous to themselves or others, can be forcibly medicated without the need for a full judicial hearing. The inmate, Walter Harper, was convicted of robbery and incarcerated in state prison from 1976 to 1980, housed mainly in the prison's mental health unit. He was subsequently released on parole on the condition that he receive mental health treatment in the community. After assaulting two nurses, Harper's parole was revoked, and he was returned to prison, where he initially voluntarily received antipsychotic medications, but later refused treatment. The state, relying on the Supreme Court's prior holding in Vitek v. Jones,⁵⁶ held an administrative hearing, finding Harper in need of treatment and ordered that he be involuntarily medicated. Harper filed a civil suit, alleging that the state's practice violated his federal constitutional rights of due process, equal protection, and free speech.

Justice Stevens, writing the dissenting opinion, held that the administration of antipsychotic drugs was akin to electroconvulsive therapy or psychosurgery and unfortunately introduced into the Court's lexicon the term "mind-altering drugs" as synonymous with antipsychotic medications (Ref. 43, pp 240–1). In citing the Supreme Court of Massachusetts case *In re Guardianship of Roe*,⁵⁷ Justice Stevens noted as pervasive that court's conclusion that antipsychotic drugs have a "well-established likelihood of severe and irreversible adverse effects" (Ref. 43, p 241; internal quotations omitted). Justice Stevens further held that:

The State might seek to compel Harper to submit to a *mind-altering drug* treatment program as punishment for the crime he committed in 1976, as a "cure" for his mental illness, or as a mechanism to maintain order in the prison. The Court [majority opinion] today recognizes Harper's liberty interest only as against the first justification [Ref. 43, p 241; emphasis added].

Cases of Treatment Over Objection

Similar to cases of competency to stand trial and penological interest, involuntary administration of antipsychotic medications have been addressed by the courts in a variety of other contexts, most prominently in cases of civil treatment over objection. The courts have acknowledged in these circumstances as well the compelling state interests of involuntary pharmacotherapy and the individual liberty interests of the afflicted patient. Analogous to the court's perception of antipsychotic drugs in competency cases and prison inmate cases, however, cases of civil treatment over objection provide further illumination as to the mindset of the judiciary and legal community that these treatments invariably exert harmful effects on cognition, and hence, infringe on constitutional rights.

The decisive case of Riese v. Mary's Hosp. & Med. Ctr.,⁵⁸ is emblematic of most cases of civil treatment over objection. Ms. Riese had a history of chronic schizophrenia since her early 20s, but was successfully treated with thioridazine, allowing her to avoid hospitalization for over 10 years. After she began to have bladder problems, her doctors switched her to molindone, which was ineffective. In 1985, Ms. Riese was voluntarily admitted for exacerbation of her psychotic symptoms and was eventually treated again with thioridazine. After Ms. Riese became agitated and refused further medication, she was converted to involuntary status, given intramuscular injections, and required further hospitalization for her active psychotic symptoms. Ms. Riese sued, contending that California law provided her with a right to refuse antipsychotic medication on the grounds of privacy and free speech.

Justice Kline, writing for the majority, held that absent a judicial determination of incompetency, informed consent was required before treatment with antipsychotic medications was permissible. Citing that these medications were "by intention mind altering" and "possess a remarkable potential for undermining individual will and self-direction" and may result in "sudden death" (Ref. 58, p 203), the court held that psychiatric hospitalization for psychosis alone did not presume incompetency, and thus, the hospital had violated Ms. Riese's constitutional rights. In a footnote, the court also held that:

The cited cases protect against intrusions into the mind by means of lie detector tests or therapists' disclosures. While the present case does not involve such forced revelations of the content of the mind, the changing of thoughts contested here is *no less intrusive* [Ref. 58, p 208, fn 11; internal citations omitted, emphasis added].

The court also found New York's similar determination persuasive. Referencing to the watershed case, *Rivers v. Katz*,⁵⁹ that mental illness "often strikes only limited areas of functioning, leaving other areas unimpaired" (Ref. 58, p 210; citing Ref. 59, p 342), the court showed a deep misunderstanding of the pervasiveness of psychotic symptoms and impaired judgment that often accompany acute exacerbations of psychosis.

The safeguarding of individual liberties is an important function of the courts. Indeed, antipsychotic medications are associated with numerous side effects, including tardive dyskinesia, neuroleptic malignant syndrome, dyslipidemia, and metabolic syndrome.^{60,61} Tolerability is a major factor in patient dissatisfaction with these agents,62 and the global blockade of dopamine inherent in these drugs reduces hedonic pleasure and motivation.^{63,64} Indeed, there is much room for improvement in the pharmacological armamentarium of treatments for psychotic illnesses. But the implication that such medicines are mind controlling and have the propensity to sabotage intentionality of free thinking shows a fundamental misunderstanding of the effects that these life-saving medications have in millions of people. More crucially, the notion that antipsychotic drugs impair cognition in persons with psychosis is in direct opposition to the wealth of scientific studies that have demonstrated just the opposite-that these medicines improve cognition.

Neurocognition in Schizophrenia

Perhaps the greatest scientific achievement in the realm of schizophrenia and related illnesses within the past 15 years has been the appreciation and intensive study of comorbid cognitive impairments that usually accompany these chronic illnesses. Conclusive evidence has demonstrated that the severity of cognitive deficits is strongly linked with long-term prognosis and overall functioning.⁶⁵ Consequently, vigorous research has focused on identifying aspects of these impairments and exploring effective treatments.⁶⁶ A variety of impairments have been identified, with the most salient aspects being visual processing, sustained attention, memory, executive functioning, and general intelligence.

Visual Processing

One of the first cognitive deficits to be identified in schizophrenia was the inability of those afflicted to discriminate between multiple visual cues.⁶⁷ Visual processing is a cognitive process that allows interpretation of a vast array of visual stimuli. This vital cognitive ability was first observed by the 17th century philosopher Sir William Hamilton. Recent research has shown that persons with schizophrenia have particular deficits with backward masking, which prevents them from interpreting the first stimulus (icon) in a series of visual cues (mask).⁶⁸

Although the exact mechanism behind impairment of backward masking remains unknown, it is believed to involve a disruption of the magnocellular and parvocellular pathways that leads to either disruption of the icon or overemphasis of the mask.⁶⁹ The importance of visual processing deficits in schizophrenia is that they impair a person's ability to scan the environment quickly, create an internal representation of the environment, and extract relevant information. Thus, inherent in this deficit is the difficulty in making transitory perceptual judgments when presented with multiple visual cues in the environment.

Sustained Attention

Attention is an invaluable process of cognitive functioning. In schizophrenia, impaired attention has been observed for many decades by researchers and has been a focal point of many neuropsychological studies.⁶⁹ From a neurocognitive perspective, attention contains several subcomponents, many of which are impaired in schizophrenia.⁷⁰ Sustained attention is a process that allows a person to identify and select a target (signal) among extraneous targets (noise). When the task is completed over time, this facet of attention is referred to as vigilance.

While vigilance decrement (i.e., the loss of vigilance during execution of a task) does not appear severely impaired in schizophrenia,⁷¹ deficits in vigilance levels (i.e., the overall vigilance given to the task at hand), latent inhibition, and selective attention are prevalent.⁷² Selective attention is the ability to pay attention to one source of sensory input while disregarding others. Latent inhibition is the ability to adapt to changing rules that give emphasis to a stimulus. In schizophrenia, deficits in selective attention and latent inhibition have been well documented.⁷³

Both impairments have obvious relevance to persons with schizophrenia involved in legal proceedings, since attention can be defined as a cognitive process necessary for complex situations that require a person to discriminate among multiple sensory inputs. As discussed in *Sell*, the ability of defendants to "rapidly react" to changing situations (Ref. 42, pp 185–6) in the courtroom necessarily implies intact attention on the part of the defendant, and thus, its impairment is significant for mentally ill defendants.

Memory

Impairment in the ability to learn and recall information from past events is a hallmark feature of schizophrenia.^{74,75} While an exhaustive review of the memory deficits involved in schizophrenia is beyond the scope of this article, the putative impairments observed generally include deficits in explicit and working memory. Explicit memory includes tasks that rely on conscious recollection of specific, previous events that can be articulated. Numerous studies have shown that persons with schizophrenia have impairments in domains of explicit memory, including verbal recall^{76,77} and, to a lesser extent, recognition memory.⁷⁸

In addition, working memory deficits have been observed in medicated and medication-naïve persons with schizophrenia.⁷⁹ Working memory is the process of actively holding information in consciousness and manipulating it in service of guiding behavior. Working memory deficits usually persist throughout the course of schizophrenia⁸⁰; however, findings in several studies suggest that some atypical antipsychotic medications may be associated with improvement in working memory.^{81–83}

The importance of memory cannot be overstated. Memory is believed to be crucial in learning⁸⁴ and its value in everyday functioning is intuitive. The ability to form new memories and recall past events is strongly related to a person's overall ability to form a coherent, functional understanding of the environment. Memory impairment has also been associated with those at high risk of psychotic illnesses.⁸⁵ In addition, results of several studies suggest that schizophrenia is associated with other impairments of memory, including semantic⁸⁶ and visual memory,⁸⁷ as well as prominent encoding deficits⁸⁸ that further disrupt the capacity of persons with schizophrenia to adduce logically the stimuli in their environment.

Executive Functioning

Executive functioning is a fundamental attribute of higher cognitive functioning in primates.⁸⁹ This concept refers to a host of neurocognitive activities that are involved in planning, problem solving, and alternating between tasks. It appears to be more disturbed than other neurocognitive deficits in schizophrenia and most likely involves decreased activity in the prefrontal cortex.⁹⁰ Executive functioning may be related to working memory,⁹¹ and the failure of additional studies to find an association between these two aspects of cognition probably is representative of the heterogeneity of schizophrenia.

Impairment in executive functioning has been associated with negative symptoms, including avolition and alogia,⁹² and may be associated with poor insight,⁹³ occupational competence,⁹⁴ and independent living,⁹⁵ although methodical problems limit these last two findings.⁶⁹ Surely, impairments in executive functioning have direct relevance to persons with mental illness who are involved in legal proceedings. The ability to assist counsel competently, weigh the risks and benefits of plea-bargaining conditions, and appreciate the potential long-term repercussions of court orders necessarily involves abilities related to planning and abstract thinking.

General Intelligence

Schizophrenia is associated with low intelligence quotients (IQ), even when family and environmental factors are controlled for,⁹⁶ and low IQ appears during childhood before the development of symptoms of schizophrenia.97 Whether intelligence in schizophrenia is a mediating factor that is independent of the illness or a marker of the genetic predisposition remains unclear, yet poor performance on neuropsychological measures of intellectual abilities appears independent of general intelligence.⁹⁸ Nonetheless, there is evidence of an association between low intelligence and risk of schizophrenia.⁹⁹ Low intelligence and schizophrenia are associated with earlier onset of illness and worse prognosis.^{100,101} The prevalence of low intelligence in schizophrenia is estimated at about 18 percent.¹⁰²

Duration of Untreated Psychosis and Neurotoxicity

The idea that active psychosis is fundamentally related to a neurotoxic process dates back to the early days of psychiatry. Emil Kraepelin is well-known for conceptualizing psychosis as an illness of invariable deterioration, presumably resulting from neuronal death.¹⁰³ The neurodevelopmental hypothesis, however, has been the dominant paradigm for the past several decades. It posits that schizophrenia is borne through early developmental insults that manifest in later life as the disease.¹⁰⁴ Recent discoveries have

challenged this theory and suggest that psychotic illnesses such as schizophrenia involve progressive changes in brain structure and function that signal an atypical neurodegenerative process.

Role of Glutamate

Glutamate is an excitatory amino acid implicated in the pathology of psychotic illnesses. Its role has been discerned from animal models of exposure to phencyclidine (PCP) and involves activity of Nmethyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors.¹⁰⁵ NMDA receptors play a crucial role in memory, learning, synaptic development and neuroplasticity, sensory information, and coordinated movements.¹⁰⁶ It is theorized that glutamatergic dysregulation occurs via secondary pathways, in which inhibition of NMDA receptors leads to disinhibition of cortical excitatory cholinergic neurons by way of decreased stimulation of inhibitory γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) neurons, which leads to autoexcitotoxicity of glutamate.¹⁰⁷ Within this context, glutamate neurotoxicity leads to dopamine hyperactivity, which produces pathogenic destruction of neuronal function.

While histopathological studies have consistently failed to demonstrate large-scale neuronal loss in schizophrenia similar to that in other neurodegenerative disorders,¹⁰⁸ some have postulated that the reduced cancer rates in people with schizophrenia¹⁰⁹ are related to the accelerated apoptosis observed through abnormal expression of cortical Bcl-2 proteins in both treated and drug-naïve patients with schizophrenia.^{110,111} Significant neuronal reductions have been noted in the thalamus, nucleus accumbens,^{112,113} and GABAergic interneurons in layers II, III, V, and VI of the anterior cingulate of postmortem brains,¹¹⁴ and neuronal atrophy appears likely in schizophrenia.¹¹⁵ Agents that indirectly enhance NMDA receptor function via the glycine modulatory site have shown promise in treating negative symptoms and the impaired cognition observed in schizophrenia.^{116,117} These findings suggest some neurodegenerative process occurs in schizophrenia.

Duration of Untreated Psychosis

Within the past two decades, increased attention has been given to the duration of untreated psychosis (DUP) and its association with treatment response and functional outcome. Studies have examined the onset and duration of symptoms until the point of first treatment. Notably, this period appears quite long^{118,119} and has spurred intense interest in early detection and treatment programs.¹²⁰ Although it remains unsettled whether DUP is pathogenic or is simply a marker of more malignant forms of psychosis,¹¹⁹ when viewed within the emerging theory of glutamate dysregulation and possible toxicity of untreated psychosis to the brain, DUP represents a possible reemergence of the neurodegenerative theory of schizophrenia^{39,121,122}—although some disagree with this assessment in favor of a disconnectivity hypothesis.¹²³ Nonetheless, a recent meta-analysis confirmed that DUP is associated with a host of negative outcomes,¹⁴ while another recent study demonstrated long-term DUP associations with poorer global functioning and increased positive symptoms.¹²⁴

Duration of untreated psychosis has been associated with a lack of acute treatment response,^{125,126} poor premorbid functioning,^{127,128} increased positive^{129,130} and negative symptoms,^{129,131} poorer outcome,¹³² and increased cognitive deficits.^{133,134} Others have found no relationship between DUP and some of these variables.^{135,136} Nonetheless, there is a growing consensus that DUP is an important prognostic factor in psychotic illnesses. Whether the deficits associated with DUP can be fully restored with proper treatment remains unknown; however, the long-term course and prognosis for those with long DUP appears poor.¹³⁷

Improvement of Cognition by Antipsychotic Medications

An abundance of studies have clearly demonstrated that consistent treatment with antipsychotic medications improves cognition in patients with schizophrenia and related psychotic disorders. However, the notion of psychotropic drugs as mind-altering by legal scholars and the courts is in no way a reference to their beneficial effects. They are viewed as "chemical straightjackets" impinging on free thought and the exercise of individual judgment.¹³⁸ The expansion of privacy rights rooted in the fundamental autonomy recognized by the courts since the 1960s is the touchstone of the right to refuse unwanted medical treatments.¹³⁹ Yet, it is well recognized that significant governmental interests, including personal safety, competence to stand trial, and the established right of the state to care for incompetent citizens under its parens patria powers can override these rights.¹⁴⁰

Nonetheless, as demonstrated in this article, the courts are wary of involuntary treatment with anti-

psychotic agents because of the erroneous belief that these medications exert some form of mind control or altered state of consciousness. On the contrary, antipsychotic medications have the propensity to improve cognition in many areas directly relevant to competence, whether it is competence to stand trial or competence to make informed decisions regarding medical treatment. Indeed, antipsychotic medications restore cognitive capacities that are often severely impaired by psychosis—a disease of the brain.

Older Agents

For many years, it was presumed that the older, first-generation antipsychotic drugs had no effect or even a deleterious effect on cognition. Recent studies have disproven this conclusion. Many of the early studies examining these agents had methodological problems ranging from being underpowered to administration of excessively high dosages of the drugs.^{141,142} Indeed, the permissive use of haloperidol as a comparator agent, with its high extrapyramidal side effects was a primary reason for the use of perphenazine in the recent NIH Clinical Antipsychotic Trials of Intervention Effectiveness (CATIE).¹⁴³

Studies have shown that first-generation antipsychotic medications are associated with improvement across a wide-range of cognitive domains, including executive functioning, sustained attention, memory, language function, time perception, and ocular motor function.¹⁴² Low-dose haloperidol has shown a more rapid onset and equal overall efficacy of cognitive improvement than the newer agent, risperidone, across measures of executive functioning, memory, sustained attention, and visual processing.¹⁴⁴ These results remain consistent, even accounting for concomitant use of anticholinergic medications.

Newer Agents

The 1990s saw a rapid transition of treatments for psychotic disorders, most notably the wide-spread use of newer, second-generation antipsychotic drugs. While older agents exerted their effects primarily on the D_2 receptor, newer agents exponentially expanded the number and type of receptors engaged in treatment. A wealth of data has been generated examining the effects of these second-generation drugs and their effects on cognition. The abundance of data strongly suggests that second-generation antipsychotic medications significantly improve a host of cognitive functions. These improvements include performance on measures of verbal fluency,^{145–148} executive functioning,^{145,149,150} vigilance,^{148,151,152} sustained attention,^{145,153,154} memory,^{83,155,156} and numerous other cognitive processes.^{157–159} Moreover, the effects are sustained over time and are independent of positive symptom severity. Investigational drugs that directly target NMDA are in development¹⁶⁰ with the NMDA receptor coagonists glycine, D-serine, and D-cycloserine already showing promise for adjunctive remediation of the cognitive deficits seen in schizophrenia.^{116,161} In addition, reversible inhibitors of the enzyme acetyl cholinesterase have also been shown to improve these cognitive deficits.^{12,106,162}

Antipsychotic Medications and Persons Involved in Legal Proceedings

As demonstrated, patients with schizophrenia and related psychotic illnesses often have substantial deficits in a host of cognitive abilities. Antipsychotic medications, both typical and atypical, improve cognitive functioning in these patients. Cognitive abilities such as executive functioning, memory, and attention are surely important for any person involved in legal proceedings in which important issues including liberty interests are at stake. While antipsychotic medications are associated with several negative side effects, including many serious and debilitating diseases, forgoing treatment is associated with numerous negative outcomes, including severe impairments in cognition.

In referring to antipsychotic medications as "mind altering" or their effects as "synthetic sanity," the legal community misconstrues their cognitive restorative properties in favor of a view akin to psychedelic drugs of abuse. Antipsychotic drugs do not override personal choice or intentionality or "control" the persons who receive them. On the contrary, abundant evidence suggests otherwise. That is, antipsychotic drugs improve cognitive capacities that are vitally important to persons involved in legal proceedings who have psychotic disorders. Consequently, in any legal system that values competent defendants who can appreciate the legal proceedings against them and assist in their defense, antipsychotic medications should be embraced for those defendants afflicted with severe mental illnesses.

Conclusions

Antipsychotic medications are not mind-altering drugs as construed by legal scholars and the courts. Rather, they are beneficial treatments that uncontrovertibly improve cognition among patients with psychotic disorders, including schizophrenia. Whether the task involves making competent and informed treatment decisions, assisting defense counsel during trial, or enduring the hardships of prolonged incarceration, these medicines enhance a person's ability to make rational decisions. There is evidence that antipsychotic medications may prevent further clinical deterioration due to potentially permanent oxidative-stress processes occurring in the brains of those affected with psychotic disorders.^{163,164} The time is ripe and the evidence overwhelming that the deprecatory attitudes toward these life-saving medicines are unwarranted and contradictory to the aims of beneficence, autonomy, dignity, and justice that medical ethics and the law passionately seek. The onus is on the courts to make informed decisions regarding such important matters as civil commitment and competency and this necessarily entails an accurate and current understanding of questions brought before them. In terms of antipsychotic drugs, the first step is the declaration that antipsychotic medications are "mind-saving drugs."

References

- 1. Sharma T: Brain Imaging in Schizophrenia: Insights and Applications. London: Remedica Publishing, 2001
- Hall D, Gogos JA, Karayiorgou M: The contribution of three strong candidate schizophrenia susceptibility genes in demographically distinct populations. Genes Brain Behav 3:240–8, 2004
- Norton N, Williams HJ, Owen MJ: An update on the genetics of schizophrenia. Curr Opin Psychiatry 19:158–64, 2006
- 4. Weinberger DR: Implications of normal brain development for the pathogenesis of schizophrenia. Arch Gen Psychiatry 44: 660-9, 1987
- Konradi C, Heckers S: Molecular aspects of glutamate dysregulation: implications for schizophrenia and its treatment. Pharmacol Ther 97:153–79, 2003
- Miyamoto S, LaMantia AS, Duncan GE, *et al*: Recent advances in the neurobiology of schizophrenia. Mol Interv 3:27–39, 2003
- Harvey PD, Koren D, Reichenberg A, *et al*: Negative symptoms and cognitive deficits: what is the nature of their relationship? Schizophr Bull 32: 250–8, 2006
- Kirkpatrick B, Fenton WS, Carpenter WT Jr, *et al*: The NIMH-MATRICS consensus statement on negative symptoms. Schizophr Bull 32: 214–19, 2006
- 9. Breier A, Schreiber JL, Dyer J, *et al*: National Institute of Mental Health longitudinal study of chronic schizophrenia: prognosis and predictors of outcome. Arch Gen Psychiatry 48:239–46, 1991

- Green MF: What are the functional consequences of neurocognitive deficits in schizophrenia? Am J Psychiatry 153:321–30, 1996
- Milev P, Ho B-C, Arndt S, *et al*: Predictive values of neurocognition and negative symptoms on functional outcome in schizophrenia: a longitudinal first-episode study with 7-year follow-up. Am J Psychiatry 162:495–506, 2005
- Stip E, Chouinard S, Boulay LJ: On the trail of a cognitive enhancer for the treatment of schizophrenia. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 29:219–32, 2005
- Weiss EM, Bilder RM, Fleischhacker WW: The effects of second-generation antipsychotics on cognitive functioning and psychosocial outcome in schizophrenia. Psychopharmacology 162: 11–7, 2002
- Perkins DO, Gu H, Boteva K, *et al*: Relationship between duration of untreated psychosis and outcome in first-episode schizophrenia: a critical review and meta-analysis. Am J Psychiatry 162:1785–804, 2005
- Malla A, Norman R, Manchanda R, *et al*: Symptoms, cognition, treatment adherence and functional outcome in first-episode psychosis. Psychol Med 32:1109–19, 2002
- Robinson D, Woerner MG, Alvir JMJ, *et al*: Predictors of relapse following response from a first episode of schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 56:241–7, 1999
- Gitlin M, Nuechterlein K, Subotnik KL, *et al*: Clinical outcome following neuroleptic discontinuation in patients with remitted recent-onset schizophrenia. Focus 2:122–30, 2004
- Wyatt RJ, Green MF, Tuma AH: Long-term morbidity associated with delayed treatment of first admission schizophrenic patients: a re-analysis of the Camarillo State Hospital data. Psychol Med 27:261–8, 1997
- Herings RM, Erkens JA: Increased suicide attempt rate among patients interrupting use of atypical antipsychotics. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Safety 12:423–4, 2003
- Fenton WS, Blyler CR, Heinssen RK: Determinants of medication compliance in schizophrenia: empirical and clinical findings. Schizophr Bull 23:637–51, 1997
- Ascher-Svanum H, Faries DE, Zhu B, et al: Medication adherence and long-term functional outcomes in the treatment of schizophrenia in usual care. J Clin Psychiatry 67:453–60, 2006
- Swartz MS, Swanson JW, Hiday VA, *et al*: Violence and severe mental illness: the effects of substance abuse and nonadherence to medication. Am J Psychiatry 155:226–31, 1998
- 23. Nose M, Barbui C, Tansella M: How often do patients with psychosis fail to adhere to treatment programmes? —a systematic review. Psychol Med 33:1149–60, 2003
- Ananth H, Popescu I, Critchley HD, *et al*: Cortical and subcortical gray matter abnormalities in schizophrenia determined through structural magnetic resonance imaging with optimized volumetric voxel-based morphometry. Am J Psychiatry 159: 1497–505, 2002
- Bagary MS, Symms MR, Barker GJ, et al: Gray and white matter brain abnormalities in first-episode schizophrenia inferred from magnetization transfer imaging. Arch Gen Psychiatry 60:779– 88, 2003
- Burns J, Job D, Bastin M, *et al*: Structural disconnectivity in schizophrenia: a diffusion tensor magnetic resonance imaging study. Br J Psychiatry 182:439–43, 2003
- Cahn W, Pol H, Bongers M, *et al*: Brain morphology in antipsychotic-naive schizophrenia: a study of multiple brain structures. Br J Psychiatry 181:66–72, 2002
- Narr KL, Bilder RM, Toga AW, *et al*: Mapping cortical thickness and gray matter concentration in first episode schizophrenia. Cereb Cortex 15:708–19, 2005

- Neckelmann G, Specht K, Lund A, *et al*: MR morphometry analysis of grey matter volume reduction in schizophrenia: association with hallucinations. Int J Neurosci 116:9–23, 2006
- McDonald C, Bullmore E, Sham P, et al: Regional volume deviations of brain structure in schizophrenia and psychotic bipolar disorder: computational morphometry study. Br J Psychiatry 186:369–77, 2005
- Pantelis C, Yucel M, Wood SJ, *et al*: Structural brain imaging evidence for multiple pathological processes at different stages of brain development in schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull 31:672–96, 2005
- 32. Styner M, Lieberman JA, McClure RK, *et al*: Morphometric analysis of lateral ventricles in schizophrenia and healthy controls regarding genetic and disease-specific factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:4872–7, 2005
- Wright IC, Rabe-Hesketh S, Woodruff PWR, *et al*: Meta-analysis of regional brain volumes in schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry 157:16–25, 2000
- Glantz LA, Gilmore JH, Lieberman JA, et al: Apoptotic mechanisms and the synaptic pathology of schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 81:47–63, 2006
- Jarskog LF, Glantz LA, Gilmore JH, *et al*: Apoptotic mechanisms in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 29:846–58, 2005
- Roberts RC, Roche JK, Conley RR: Synaptic differences in the patch matrix compartments of subjects with schizophrenia: a postmortem ultrastructural study of the striatum. Neurobiol Dis 20:324–35, 2005
- Cotter D, Mackay D, Chana G, *et al*: Reduced neuronal size and glial cell density in area 9 of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in subjects with major depressive disorder. Cereb Cortex 12:386– 94, 2002
- Pantelis C, Yucel M, Wood SJ, *et al*: Structural brain imaging evidence for multiple pathological processes at different stages of brain development in schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull 31:672–96, 2005
- DeLisi LE, Sakuma M, Tew W, *et al*: Schizophrenia as a chronic active brain process: a study of progressive brain structural change subsequent to the onset of schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res 74:129–40, 1997
- Mathalon DH, Sullivan EV, Lim KO, *et al*: Progressive brain volume changes and the clinical course of schizophrenia in men: a longitudinal magnetic resonance imaging study. Arch Gen Psychiatry 58:148–57, 2001
- 41. Woods BT: Is schizophrenia a progressive neurodevelopmental disorder? Toward a unitary pathogenetic mechanism. Am J Psychiatry 155:1661–70, 1998
- 42. Sell v. United States, 539 U.S. 166 (2003)
- 43. Washington v. Harper, 494 U.S. 210 (1990)
- 44. Singleton v. Norris, 108 F.3d 872 (8th Cir. 1997)
- 45. Robbins E, Waters J, Herbert P: Competency to stand trial evaluations: a study of actual practice in two states. J Am Acad Psychiatry Law 25:469–83, 1997
- Morse SJ: Inevitable mens rea. Harv J Law & Pub Pol'y 27:51– 64, 2003
- Viljoen JL, Zapf PA, Roesch R: Diagnosis, current symptomatology, and the ability to stand trial. J Forensic Psychol Pract 3:23–37, 2003
- 48. Freedman R: Schizophrenia. N Engl J Med 349:1738-49, 2003
- 49. Sawa A, Snyder SH: Schizophrenia: diverse approaches to a complex disease. Science 296:692–5, 2002
- Amador XF, Flaum M, Andreasen NC, *et al*: Awareness of illness in schizophrenia and schizoaffective and mood disorders. Arch Gen Psychiatry 51:826–36, 1994

- 51. Morse SJ: Involuntary competence. Behav Sci Law 21:311–28, 2003
- 52. Riggins v. Nevada, 504 U.S. 127 (1992)
- Tomashefsy S: Antipsychotic drugs and fitness to stand trial: the right of unfit accused to refuse treatment. U Chi Law Rev 52: 773–94, 1985
- Fentiman LC: Whose right is it anyway?—rethinking competency to stand trial in light of the synthetically sane insanity defendant. U Miami Law Rev 40:1109–69, 1986
- Breneman DA: Forcible antipsychotic medication and the unfortunate side effects of Sell v. United States. Harv J Law & Pub Pol'y 27:965–85, 2004
- 56. Vitek v. Jones, 436 U.S. 407 (1978)
- 57. In re Guardianship of Roe, 421 N.E.2d 40 (Mass. 1981)
- Riese v. St. Mary's Hosp. & Med. Ctr., 271 Cal. Rptr. 199 (Cal. Ct. App. 1989)
- 59. Rivers v. Katz, 495 N.E.2d 337 (N.Y. 1986)
- Lamberti JS, Olson D, Crilly JF, *et al*: Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among patients receiving clozapine. Am J Psychiatry 163:1273–6, 2006
- 61. American Psychiatric Association: Practice Guidelines for the Treatment of Patients With Schizophrenia. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing, 2004
- Marder SR: Subjective experiences on antipsychotic medications: synthesis and conclusions. Acta Psychiatr Scand 111: 43-6, 2005
- Kapur S, Mizrahi R, Li M: From dopamine to salience to psychosis: linking biology, pharmacology and phenomenology of psychosis. Schizophr Res 79:59–68, 2005
- Berridge KC, Robinson TE: What is the role of dopamine in reward: hedonic impact, reward learning, or incentive salience? Brain Res Rev 28:309-69, 1998
- Sevy S, Davidson M: The cost of cognitive impairment in schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 17:1–3, 1995
- Fenton WS, Stover EL, Insel TR: Breaking the log-jam in treatment development for cognition in schizophrenia: NIMH perspective. Psychopharmacology 169:365–6, 2003
- 67. Asarnow RF, Granholm E, Sherman T: Span of Apprehension in Schizophrenia. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1991
- McClure RK: The visual backward masking deficit in schizophrenia. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 25:301– 11, 2001
- Green MF: Schizophrenia From a Neurocognitive Perspective: Probing the Impenetrable Darkness. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon, 1998
- Bowie CR, Harvey PD, Bowie CR: Cognition in schizophrenia: impairments, determinants, and functional importance. Psychiatr Clin N Am 28:613–33, 2003
- Cornblatt BA, Lenzenweger MF, Erlenmeyer-Kimling L: The continuous performance test, identical pairs version: II. Contrasting attentional profiles in schizophrenic and depressed patients. Psychiatry Res 29:65–85, 1989
- Nuechterlein KH, Barch DM, Gold JM, *et al*: Identification of separable cognitive factors in schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 72: 29–39, 2004
- Keshavan MS, Berger G, Zipursky RB, et al: Neurobiology of early psychosis. Br J Psychiatry 187:8–18, 2005
- 74. Green MF, Nuechterlein KH, Gold JM, *et al*: Approaching a consensus cognitive battery for clinical trials in schizophrenia: the NIMH-MATRICS conference to select cognitive domains and test criteria. Biol Psychiatry 56:301–7, 2004
- Lencz T, Smith CW, McLaughlin D, et al: Generalized and specific neurocognitive deficits in prodromal schizophrenia. Biol Psychiatry 59:863–71, 2006

- Daban C, Martinez-Aran A, Torrent C, *et al*: Specificity of cognitive deficits in bipolar disorder versus schizophrenia: a systematic review. Psychother Psychosom 75:72–84, 2006
- Toulopoulouand T, Murray RM: Verbal memory deficit in patients with schizophrenia: an important future target for treatment. Expert Rev Neurother 4:43–52, 2004
- Calev A: Recall and recognition in chronic nondemented schizophrenics: use of matched tasks. J Abnorm Psychol 93:172–7, 1984
- Honey GD, Fletcher PC: Investigating principles of human brain function underlying working memory: what insights from schizophrenia? Neuroscience 139:59–71, 2006
- Park S, Holzman PS: Schizophrenics show spatial working memory deficits. Arch Gen Psychiatry 49:975–82, 1992
- Green MF, Marshall BD Jr, Wirshing WC, et al: Does risperidone improve verbal working memory in treatment-resistant schizophrenia? Am J Psychiatry 154:799–804, 1997
- Harvey PD, Bowie CR, Loebel A: Neuropsychological normalization with long-term atypical antipsychotic treatment: results of a six-month randomized, double-blind comparison of ziprasidone vs. olanzapine. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 18:54– 63, 2006
- McGurk SR, Carter C, Goldman R, *et al*: The effects of clozapine and risperidone on spatial working memory in schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry 162:1013–16, 2005
- Chen C, Tonegawa S: Molecular genetic analysis of synaptic plasticity, activity-dependent neural development, learning, and memory in the mammalian brain. Ann Rev Neurosci 20:157– 84, 1997
- Brewer WJ, Francey SM, Wood SJ, et al: Memory impairments identified in people at ultra-high risk for psychosis who later develop first-episode psychosis. Am J Psychiatry 162:71–8, 2005
- McKay AP, McKenna PJ, Bentham P, *et al*: Semantic memory is impaired in schizophrenia. Biol Psychiatry 39:929–37, 1996
- Saykin AJ, Shtasel DL, Gur RE, *et al*: Neuropsychological deficits in neuroleptic naive patients with first-episode schizophrenia. Arch Gen Psychiatry 51:124–31, 1994
- Brerion G, Amador X, Smith MJ, et al: Mechanisms underlying memory impairment in schizophrenia. Psychol Med 27:383–93, 2000
- 89. Stuss DT, Alexander MP: Executive functions and the frontal lobes: a conceptual view. Psychol Res 63:289–98, 2000
- Sharma T, Antonova L: Cognitive function in schizophrenia: deficits, functional consequences, and future treatment. Psychiatr Clin North Am 26:25–40, 2003
- Goldman-Rakic PS: Prefrontal Cortical Dysfunction in Schizophrenia: The Relevance of Working Memory. New York: Raven Press, 1991
- 92. Berman I, Viegner B, Merson A, *et al*: Differential relationships between positive and negative symptoms and neuropsychological deficits in schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 25:1–10, 1997
- Mohamed S, Fleming S, Penn DL, *et al*: Insight in schizophrenia: its relationship to measures of executive functions. J Nerv Ment Dis 187:525–31, 1999
- Lysaker P, Bell M: Insight and cognitive impairment in schizophrenia. Performance on repeated administrations of the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test. J Nerv Ment Dis 182:656–60, 1994
- Brekke JS, Raine A, Ansel M, *et al*: Neuropsychological and psychophysiological correlates of psychosocial functioning in schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull 23:19–28, 1997
- Aylward E, Walker E, Bettes B: Intelligence in schizophrenia: meta-analysis of the research. Schizophr Bull 10:430–59, 1984

- Goldberg TE, Hyde TM, Kleinman JE, *et al*: Course of schizophrenia: neuropsychological evidence for a static encephalopathy. Schizophr Bull 19:797–804, 1993
- Kremen WS, Seidman LJ, Faraone SV, *et al*: Intelligence quotient and neuropsychological profiles in patients with schizophrenia and in normal volunteers. Biol Psychiatry 50:453–62, 2001
- David AS, Malmberg A, Brandt L, *et al*: IQ and risk for schizophrenia: a population-based cohort study. Psychol Med 27: 1311–23, 2000
- 100. Hassiotis A, Ukoumunne OC, Byford S, *et al*: Intellectual functioning and outcome of patients with severe psychotic illness randomised to intensive case management. Report from the UK700 trial. Br J Psychiatry 178:166–71, 2001
- Chaplin R, Barley M, Cooper SJ, *et al*: The impact of intellectual functioning on symptoms and service use in schizophrenia. J Intellectual Disabil Res 50:288, 2006
- 102. Hassiotis A: Prevalence and characteristics of patients with severe mental illness and borderline intellectual functioning: report from the UK700 randomised controlled trial of case management. Br J Psychiatry 175:135–40, 1999
- 103. Kraepelin E: Dementia Praecox and Paraphrenia. New York: Robert E. Krieger, 1971
- 104. Marenco S, Weinberger DR: The neurodevelopmental hypothesis of schizophrenia: following a trail of evidence from cradle to grave. Dev Psychopathol 12:501–27, 2000
- Byrd JC, Bykov V, Rothman R: Chronic haloperidol treatment up-regulates rat brain PCP receptors. Eur J Pharmacol 140: 121–2, 1987
- Stephan KE, Baldeweg T, Friston KJ: Synaptic plasticity and dysconnection in schizophrenia. Biol Psychiatry 59:929–39, 2006
- 107. Heresco-Levy U: Glutamatergic neurotransmission modulation and the mechanisms of antipsychotic atypicality. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 23:1113–23, 2003
- Jarskog FL, Miyamoto S, Lieberman JA: Schizophrenia: new pathological insights and therapies. Ann Rev Med 58:59–71, 2006
- Catts VS, Catts SV: Apoptosis and schizophrenia: is the tumour suppressor gene, p53, a candidate susceptibility gene? Schizophr Res 41:405–15, 2000
- Jarskog LF, Gilmore JH, Selinger ES, *et al*: Cortical Bcl-2 protein expression and apoptotic regulation in schizophrenia. Biol Psychiatry 48:641–50, 2000
- 111. Jarskog LF, Selinger ES, Lieberman JA, et al: Apoptotic proteins in the temporal cortex in schizophrenia: high bax/bcl-2 ratio without caspase-3 activation. Am J Psychiatry 161:109–15, 2004
- Pakkenberg B: Pronounced reduction of total neuron number in mediodorsal thalamic nucleus and nucleus accumbens in schizophrenics. Arch Gen Psychiatry 47:1023–8, 1990
- Young KA, Manaye KF, Liang CL, et al: Reduced number of mediodorsal and anterior thalamic neurons in schizophrenia. Biol Psychiatry 47:944–53, 2000
- Jentsch JD, Roth RH: The neuropsychopharmacology of phencyclidine: from NMDA receptor hypofunction to the dopamine hypothesis of schizophrenia. Neuropsychopharmacology 20: 201–25, 1999
- 115. Rajkowska G, Selemon LD, Goldman-Rakic PS: Neuronal and glial somal size in the prefrontal cortex: a postmortem morphometric study of schizophrenia and Huntington disease. Arch Gen Psychiatry 55:215–24, 1998
- Javitt DC: Is the glycine site half saturated or half unsaturated? effects of glutamatergic drugs in schizophrenia patients. Curr Opin Psychiatry 19:151–7, 2006

- 117. Lane H-Y, Chang Y-C, Liu Y-C, *et al*: Sarcosine or d-serine add-on treatment for acute exacerbation of schizophrenia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Arch Gen Psychiatry 62:1196–204, 2005
- 118. Larsen TK, Friis S, Haahr U, *et al*: Early detection and intervention in first-episode schizophrenia: a critical review. Acta Psychiatr Scand 103:323–34, 2001
- 119. McGlashan TH: Duration of untreated psychosis in firstepisode schizophrenia: marker or determinant of course? Biol Psychiatry 46:899–907, 1999
- 120. McGlashan TH, Zipursky RB, Perkins D, et al: The PRIME North America randomized double-blind clinical trial of olanzapine versus placebo in patients at risk of being prodromally symptomatic for psychosis. I. Study rationale and design. Schizophr Res 61:7–18, 2003
- Lieberman JA: Is schizophrenia a neurodegenerative disorder?—a clinical and neurobiological perspective. Biol Psychiatry 46:729–39, 1999
- 122. Weinberger DR, McClure RK: Neurotoxicity, neuroplasticity, and magnetic resonance imaging morphometry: what is happening in the schizophrenic brain? Arch Gen Psychiatry 49:553–8, 2002
- 123. McGlashan TH: Is active psychosis neurotoxic? Schizophr Bull 32:609–13, 2006
- 124. Clarke M, Whitty P, Browne S, *et al*: Untreated illness and outcome of psychosis. Br J Psychiatry 189:235–40, 2006
- 125. Ucok A, Polat A, Genc A, *et al*: Duration of untreated psychosis may predict acute treatment response in first-episode schizophrenia. J Psychiatr Res 38:163–8, 2004
- 126. Marshall M, Lewis S, Lockwood A, *et al*: Association between duration of untreated psychosis and outcome in cohorts of firstepisode patients: a systematic review. Arch Gen Psychiatry 62: 975–83, 2005
- 127. Keshavan MS, Haas G, Miewald J, *et al*: Prolonged untreated illness duration from prodromal onset predicts outcome in first episode psychoses. Schizophr Bull 29:757–69, 2003
- Harrigan SM, McGorry PD, Krstev H: Does treatment delay in first-episode psychosis really matter? Psychol Med 33:97–110, 2002
- 129. Larsen TK, Moe LC, Vibe-Hansen L, *et al*: Premorbid functioning versus duration of untreated psychosis in 1 year outcome in first-episode psychosis. Schizophr Res 45:1–9, 2000
- 130. Harris MG, Henry LP, Harrigan SM, *et al*: The relationship between duration of untreated psychosis and outcome: an eightyear prospective study. Schizophr Res 79:85–93, 2005
- 131. de Haan L, Linszen DH, Lenior ME, et al: Duration of untreated psychosis and outcome of schizophrenia: delay in intensive psychosocial treatment versus delay in treatment with antipsychotic medication. Schizophr Bull 29:341–8, 2003
- 132. Loebel AD, Lieberman JA, Alvir JM, *et al*: Duration of psychosis and outcome in first-episode schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry 149:1183–8, 2003
- 133. Scully PJ, Coakley G, Kinsella A, *et al*: Psychopathology, executive (frontal) and general cognitive impairment in relation to duration of initially untreated versus subsequently treated psychosis in chronic schizophrenia. Psychol Med 27:1303–10, 2000
- 134. Amminger GP, Edwards J, Brewer WJ, et al: Duration of untreated psychosis and cognitive deterioration in first-episode schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 54:223–30, 2002
- 135. Rund BR, Melle I, Friis S, *et al*: Neurocognitive dysfunction in first-episode psychosis: correlates with symptoms, premorbid adjustment, and duration of untreated psychosis. Am J Psychiatry 161:466–72, 2004

- 136. Craig TJ, Bromet EJ, Fennig S, *et al*: Is there an association between duration of untreated psychosis and 24-month clinical outcome in a first-admission series? Am J Psychiatry 157:60–6, 2000
- 137. Bottlender R, Sato T, Jäger M, *et al*: The impact of the duration of untreated psychosis prior to first psychiatric admission on the 15-year outcome in schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 62:37–44, 2003
- 138. Mossman D: Unbuckling the 'chemical straitjacket': The legal significance of recent advances in the pharmacological treatment of psychosis. San Diego Law Rev 39:1033–164, 2002
- 139. Winick B: The Right to Refuse Mental Health Treatment. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 1997
- Erickson SK, Vitacco MJ, Van Rybroek G: Beyond overt violence: Wisconsin's progressive civil commitment statute as a marker of a new era in mental health law. Marq Law Rev 89: 359–405, 2005
- 141. Weickert TW, Goldberg TE: First- and second-generation antipsychotic medication and cognitive processing in schizophrenia. Curr Psychiatry Rep 7:304–10, 2005
- Mishara AL, Goldberg TE: A meta-analysis and critical review of the effects of conventional neuroleptic treatment on cognition in schizophrenia: opening a closed book. Biol Psychiatry 55:1013– 22, 2004
- 143. Lieberman JA, Stroup TS, McEvoy JP, et al: The clinical antipsychotic trials of intervention effectiveness I: effectiveness of antipsychotic drugs in patients with chronic schizophrenia. N Engl J Med 353:1209–23, 2005
- 144. Green MF, Marder SR, Glynn SM, *et al*: The neurocognitive effects of low-dose haloperidol: a two-year comparison with risperidone. Biol Psychiatry 51:972–8, 2002
- 145. Harvey PD, Meltzer H, Simpson GM, *et al*: Improvement in cognitive function following a switch to ziprasidone from conventional antipsychotics, olanzapine, or risperidone in outpatients with schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 66:101–13, 2004
- Keefe RS, Bollini AM, Silva SG: Do novel antipsychotics improve cognition?—a report of a meta-analysis. Psychiatric Ann 29:623–9, 1999
- 147. Meltzer HY, McGurk SR: The effects of clozapine, risperidone, and olanzapine on cognitive function in schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull 25:233–255, 1999
- Harvey PD, Rabinowitz J, Eerdekens M, *et al*: Treatment of cognitive impairment in early psychosis: a comparison of risperidone and haloperidol in a large long-term trial. Am J Psychiatry 162:1888–95, 2005
- Hori H, Noguchi H, Hashimoto R, et al: Antipsychotic medication and cognitive function in schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 86:138–46, 2006
- 150. Bender S, Dittmann-Balcar A, Schall U, *et al*: Influence of atypical neuroleptics on executive functioning in patients with schizophrenia: a randomized, double-blind comparison of olan-

zapine vs. clozapine. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 9:135-45, 2006

- Joober R, Rouleau GA, Lal S, *et al*: Neuropsychological impairments in neuroleptic-responder vs. -nonresponder schizophrenic patients and healthy volunteers. Schizophr Res 53:229–38, 2002
- 152. Serper MR, Harvey PD, Davidson M: Attentional and clinical neuroleptic response in schizophrenia: a study with the continuous performance test. Cogn Neuropsychiatry 2:241–9, 1997
- Liu SK, Chen WJ, Chang C-J, *et al*: Effects of atypical neuroleptics on sustained attention deficits in schizophrenia: a trial of risperidone versus haloperidol. Neuropsychopharmacology 22: 311–19, 2000
- 154. Keefe RS, Young CA, Rock SL, *et al*: One-year double-blind study of the neurocognitive efficacy of olanzapine, risperidone, and haloperidol in schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 81:1–15, 2006
- 155. Thornton AE, Van Snellenberg JX, Sepehry AA, *et al*: The impact of atypical antipsychotic medications on long-term memory dysfunction in schizophrenia spectrum disorder: a quantitative review. J Psychopharmacol 20:335–46, 2006
- 156. Bilder RM, Goldman RS, Volavka J, *et al*: Neurocognitive effects of clozapine, olanzapine, risperidone, and haloperidol in patients with chronic schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. Am J Psychiatry 159:1018–28, 2002
- 157. Stip É, Chouinard S, Boulay LJ: On the trail of a cognitive enhancer for the treatment of schizophrenia. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 29:219–32, 2005
- Jann MW: Implications for atypical antipsychotics in the treatment of schizophrenia: neurocognition effects and a neuroprotective hypothesis. Pharmacotherapy 24:1759–83, 2004
- Weiss EM, Bilder RM, Fleischhacker WW: The effects of second-generation antipsychotics on cognitive functioning and psychosocial outcome in schizophrenia. Psychopharmacology 162:11–17, 2002
- 160. Krystal JH, D'Souza DC, Mathalon D, et al: NMDA receptor antagonist effects, cortical glutamatergic function, and schizophrenia: toward a paradigm shift in medication development. Psychopharmacology 169:215–33, 2003
- Tuominen HJ, Tiihonen J, Wahlbeck K: Glutamatergic drugs for schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Schizophr Res 72:225–34, 2004
- Erickson SK, Schwarzkopf SB, Palumbo D, *et al*: Efficacy and tolerability of low-dose donepezil in schizophrenia. Clin Neuropharmacol 28:179–84, 2005
- Dakhale G, Khanzode S, Khanzode S, *et al*: Oxidative damage and schizophrenia: the potential benefit by atypical antipsychotics. Neuropsychobiology 49:205–9, 2004
- 164. Zhang XY, Tan YL, Cao LY, *et al*: Antioxidant enzymes and lipid peroxidation in different forms of schizophrenia treated with typical and atypical antipsychotics. Schizophr Res 81:291–300, 2006