Prevalence and Psychosocial Correlates of Prior Incarcerations in an Urban, Predominantly African-American Sample of Hospitalized Patients With First-Episode Psychosis


High rates of incarceration and criminal justice system recidivism among individuals with serious mental illnesses have long been topics of concern, but few studies have examined rates of prior incarceration at the point of first treatment contact. In a sample of 109 urban, low-income, predominantly African-American patients hospitalized for first-episode psychosis, 57.8 percent reported a history of incarceration. Among those who reported having ever been incarcerated, 58.1 percent had more than one past incarceration, and the mean number of incarcerations was 2.9 ± 3.4. Patients with a history of incarceration had completed fewer years of education, had poorer late-adolescence premorbid academic functioning, reported an earlier age at initiation of cannabis use, and were more likely to have cannabis and alcohol dependence or abuse. Incarceration was also associated with a greater number of psychosocial problems and more severe general psychopathology symptoms. These findings of excessively high rates of past incarceration among urban, predominantly African-American, first-episode psychosis patients, along with the associations between past incarceration and diverse adverse psychosocial and clinical characteristics, serve as a call to action for researchers in early psychosis, program developers, policy-makers, and clinical and forensic psychiatrists.


High rates of incarceration and criminal justice system recidivism among individuals with serious men-
increased substantially over the past two decades.\(^3,4\) Recently, the United States Bureau of Justice Statistics reported that over half of all individuals incarcerated in jails and prisons had one or more symptoms of a mental health problem in the 12 months before admission.\(^5\) Rates of incarceration are especially high among individuals with psychotic disorders such as schizophrenia. For instance, schizophrenia was found to be three times more prevalent in an urban county jail than in a comparable general population sample.\(^2\) In a convenience sample of individuals with a known serious mental illness who had been incarcerated, some 87 percent had a schizophrenia-spectrum disorder.\(^6\) A recent survey of inmates in multiple settings reported that 10 percent of federal prisoners, 15 percent of state prisoners, and 24 percent of local jail inmates displayed at least one symptom of a potential psychotic disorder.\(^5\) When reported rates are restricted to narrow, interview-based diagnoses, the lifetime prevalence of schizophrenia among randomly selected inmates was 3.8 percent in rural jails/prisons and 3.0 percent in an urban jail.\(^7,8\) Some reasons cited for the apparent criminalization of individuals with mental illnesses include deinstitutionalization (and subsequent trans-institutionalization), the advent of more restrictive civil commitment criteria, and the lack of adequate community support.\(^9\)

Nationwide, recidivism in criminal justice settings is higher among individuals with a mental health problem than among other detainees, with nearly one-fourth of the former and only one-fifth of the latter having three or more incarcerations.\(^5\) In one sample, 70 percent of incarcerated individuals with a mental illness were charged for new crimes or supervision violations after release, although only 10 percent had committed felonies against persons, and only 2 percent committed very serious crimes.\(^10\) Bizzell and colleagues\(^11\) found that inmates with major psychiatric disorders had substantially increased risks of multiple incarcerations over a six-year period. A pattern of repeat incarcerations appears to be a common and unfortunate outcome of serious mental illnesses that may be modifiable through effective prevention strategies or policy changes.

One criticism of prior research on the outcomes of schizophrenia is that considerable bias is introduced by the overinclusion of chronically ill patients.\(^12\) Furthermore, much of the psychosocial disability associated with schizophrenia accumulates before the first treatment contact.\(^13,14\) Surprisingly little research attention has been given to the occurrence and consequences of arrest and incarceration among first-episode psychosis patients. In one sample of first-episode patients in New York, 14 percent had already been incarcerated upon admission.\(^15\) Ethnic minority status, male gender, and a history of incarceration were predictors of legal involvement after the first episode, which was found in nine percent of the sample over a 4.5-year follow-up period.\(^15\) Further investigation is needed on the complex interplay between violence, incarceration, and illness variables, including illness course, treatment accessibility, treatment response, and long-term symptomatic and psychosocial functioning. Research on incarceration and criminal justice system recidivism would be particularly informative in first-episode samples to clarify the trajectory of these psychosocial problems in relation to the initiation of treatment.

The objective of this report is two-fold: to provide a descriptive summary of incarceration in a well-characterized sample of patients hospitalized for the initial evaluation and treatment of a first episode of nonaffective psychosis and to examine the ways in which incarceration is associated with key sociodemographic, premorbid, substance use-related, and clinical variables. In doing so, it is hoped that the findings will provide initial descriptive data in an urban, low-income, predominantly African-American sample, and draw attention to the critical problem of incarceration and associated psychosocial problems among individuals with first-episode psychosis, even before the first contact with psychiatric services and initiation of treatment.

**Methods**

**Setting and Sample**

Participants took part in the cross-sectional portion of The ACES Project (Atlanta Cohort on the Early Course of Schizophrenia), an investigation of predictors of treatment delay in first-episode psychosis within a socially disadvantaged, predominantly African-American sample reliant on public-sector health services. All participants were hospitalized for a first episode of a schizophrenia-spectrum disorder in an inpatient psychiatric unit of a large, university-affiliated, urban, public-sector hospital or a suburban county psychiatric crisis center. Individuals between the ages of 18 and 40 years who were able to speak and read English were eligible for participation.
Those with known mental retardation, a Mini-Mental State Examination\(^{16,17}\) score of <24, a significant medical condition that could compromise ability to participate, prior outpatient treatment for psychosis lasting longer than three months, prior hospitalization for psychosis more than three months before the index hospitalization, or inability to provide written informed consent were excluded.

The mean age of the participants (\(n = 109\)) was 23.1 ± 4.7 years (range, 18–39), and 83 (76.1%) were male. The majority (98 [89.9%]) self-identified as Black/African American and others as White/Caucasian (7 [6.4%]), Asian American (2 [1.8%]), and African/Ethiopian (2 [1.8%]). Some 44 percent of the sample had not completed high school; the mean number of years of education completed was 11.6 ± 2.4. Sixty-two (56.9%) met Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID)\(^{18}\) criteria for schizophrenia (48 with paranoid type, 10 with disorganized type, 2 with residual type, and 2 with undifferentiated type), 22 (20.2%) for schizophreniform disorder, 12 (11.0%) for psychotic disorder not otherwise specified, 8 (7.3%) for schizoaffective disorder not otherwise specified, 8 (7.3%) for schizoaffective disorder (5 with bipolar type and 3 with depressive type), 4 (3.7%) for brief psychotic disorder, and 1 (0.9%) for delusional disorder.

Procedures and Materials

Participants included in this analysis underwent a clinical research assessment during the baseline, cross-sectional portion of The ACES Project. All assessments were conducted during hospitalization, after acute psychosis had been stabilized sufficiently so that written informed consent could be obtained after the study procedures were fully explained. The study protocol was reviewed by all relevant institutional review boards, including the Emory University Institutional Review Board, the Grady Health System Research Oversight Committee, and the Georgia Department of Human Resources Institutional Review Board.

Several sociodemographic variables were assessed. To solicit information about participants’ legal history, two items were included in the demographics questionnaire: Have you ever been arrested? and Have you ever been incarcerated? If either item was endorsed, additional details were collected. Reported types of charges resulting in incarceration were later grouped by content area and counted for a total frequency.

The Premorbid Adjustment Scale\(^{19}\) (PAS) was used to measure premorbid functioning. This instrument assesses the degree to which a person has attained developmental goals before the initial onset of psychotic or prodromal symptoms. Information was gathered by trained Master’s-level research assessors, clinical psychology postdoctoral fellows, or graduate students, who used a semistructured interview with the patient to assess both academic and social functioning across three age periods—childhood (≤11 years), early adolescence (12–15 years), and late adolescence (16–18 years)—yielding six PAS scores. To safeguard against inadvertently assessing prodromal functioning during the rating of premorbid functioning, the PAS was not scored for any age period that would have included the year before the onset of prodromal symptoms. The PAS has been used widely in schizophrenia research, and reliability, validity, and predictive utility have been reported.\(^{19–21}\)

Patients’ ages at first use of nicotine, alcohol, and cannabis were determined by three items: How old were you the first time you ever used (cigarettes/alcohol/marijuana)? Substance use disorder diagnoses were derived with the SCID.\(^{18}\) The presence of Axis IV psychosocial problems (including problems in the following areas: primary support, the social environment, education, occupation, finances, housing, and access to health care) was determined after the entire research assessment (typically lasting about three to four hours and including questions about the patient’s income, housing situation, and educational attainment; extensive clinical interviewing; and a thorough review of the patient’s medical chart) was completed.

The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale\(^{22}\) (PANSS) was used to rate positive, negative, and general psychopathology symptoms of schizophrenia. The PANSS is a 30-item, seven-point rating scale that was completed by clinically trained research staff (Master’s-level research assessors, clinical psychology postdoctoral fellows, or graduate students) at the conclusion of a chart review and an in-depth semistructured interview. Based on findings that positive and negative syndromes in schizophrenia are partly distinct, the 30 items of the PANSS are typically grouped into three categories: positive symptoms (7 items), negative symptoms (7 items), and general psychopathology symptoms such as anxiety and depression (16 items). Inter-rater reliability is in the
good to excellent range for most individual items and in the excellent range for the component scores. To assess inter-rater reliability of the PANSS subscale scores in the present study, intraclass correlation (ICC) coefficients were calculated using a two-way mixed (judges fixed) effects analysis of variance model in which three assessors were the fixed effect, while 12 target ratings were the random effect. ICC coefficients were as follows: positive subscale, 0.84; negative subscale, 0.69; and general psychopathology subscale, 0.63. Several studies of the PANSS have provided evidence of criterion-related validity with antecedent and concurrent measures, predictive validity, drug sensitivity, and utility for both typological and dimensional assessment.

**Data Analysis**

Basic descriptive statistics were calculated for rates of arrest and incarceration. Given that this was a descriptive/correlational analysis not meant to test causality or direction, bivariate tests were used. Independent-samples Student’s *t*-tests were used to test associations between a history of incarceration and age at hospitalization, years of education completed, PAS scores, ages at first use of substances, the number of Axis IV psychosocial problems present, and PANSS scores. Chi-square tests of independence were used to test for associations between a history of incarceration and gender, the presence of alcohol use disorder diagnoses, and the presence of cannabis use disorder diagnoses. All analyses were conducted with SPSS 16.0, using two-tailed tests with *p* ≤ .05 as the criterion for establishing statistical significance. Because the PAS and PANSS had six and three subscales, respectively, a Bonferroni correction was used to control for family-wise error, and the criteria for significance were adjusted to *p* ≤ .008 and *p* ≤ .01, respectively.

**Results**

The rates of arrest and incarceration were very high in this sample of 109 patients with first-episode psychosis (70.6% and 57.8%, respectively). Because these variables were obviously highly associated (*χ²* = 62.04, *df* = 1, *p* < .001), all subsequent analyses were conducted pertaining to incarceration rather than arrest, as the former was considered a more seminal event in patients’ lives. Among those who had ever been incarcerated, the mean number of incarcerations was 2.9 ± 3.4. The categories of the 93 reported charges that resulted in the 85 incarcerations are listed in Table 1. Drug and alcohol-related charges were the most commonly reported reason for incarceration in this sample, comprising 23.7 percent of all stated charges. Theft-related charges and assault or battery/fighting were the second and third leading reasons for incarceration in this sample (14.0% and 11.8%, respectively).

As shown in Table 2, a history of incarceration was associated with several characteristics of first-episode patients. Patients with a history of incarceration had a lesser mean years of educational attainment (10.9 ± 2.1) compared with those who had never been incarcerated (12.6 ± 2.4, *p* < .001). Consistent with this finding, mean scores on one of six PAS domains differed between the two groups. Specifically, patients with a history of incarceration had higher scores (indicating poorer premorbid adjustment) in late adolescence academic functioning (*p* = .007).

Table 1  Types of 93 Reported Charges That Resulted in 85 Incarcerations Among 109 Patients With First-Episode Psychosis*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported Types of Charges</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug- and alcohol-related charges (including DUI)</td>
<td>22 (23.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theft-related charges</td>
<td>13 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault or battery/fighting</td>
<td>11 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic violations (excluding DUI)</td>
<td>8 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disorderly conduct, loitering, and not carrying an ID</td>
<td>8 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weapons-related charges</td>
<td>7 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resisting (e.g., running away, obstructing justice, violating parole)</td>
<td>6 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trespassing</td>
<td>5 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic violence/child abuse</td>
<td>2 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>5 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>6 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Some incarcerations were for multiple charges.

Also shown in Table 2, among the patients who reported having ever used cannabis (87 [79.8%]), those who had been incarcerated had a mean age at first cannabis use of 15.2 ± 4.1 years, which is 1.8 years younger than those who had not been incarcerated (17.0 ± 3.6, *p* = .05). In addition, patients with a history of incarceration were more likely to have a diagnosed alcohol use (36.5% compared with 15.2%, *p* = .01) or cannabis use (69.8% compared with 41.3%, *p* = .003) disorder. A history of incarceration was associated with a higher mean number of Axis IV psychosocial problems (4.8 ± 1.9 compared with 3.7 ± 1.6, *p* = .002). Incarceration was associated with a higher PANSS general psychopathology symptom subscale score (*p* = .002).
Table 2  Associations Between History of Incarceration and Sociodemographic, Premorbid, Substance Use-Related, and Clinical Variables in 109 Hospitalized First-Episode Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Never Incarcerated (n = 46)</th>
<th>History of Incarceration (n = 63)</th>
<th>Test Statistic, df, p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at hospitalization, y</td>
<td>22.8 ± 3.8</td>
<td>23.3 ± 5.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education completed</td>
<td>12.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>10.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>t = 3.9, df = 107, p &lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male, n (%)</td>
<td>32 (69.6)</td>
<td>51 (81.0)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAS premorbid functioning scores*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood academic</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.89</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.91</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early adolescence academic</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.92</td>
<td>2.10 ± 0.84</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late adolescence academic</td>
<td>2.37 ± 1.45</td>
<td>3.42 ± 1.59</td>
<td>t = 2.81, df = 65, p = .007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood social</td>
<td>1.14 ± 1.18</td>
<td>1.29 ± 1.08</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early adolescence social</td>
<td>1.52 ± 1.12</td>
<td>1.51 ± 1.15</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late adolescence social</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.90</td>
<td>1.61 ± 1.10</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at first use of nicotine, y</td>
<td>16.4 ± 4.0</td>
<td>15.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at first use of alcohol, y</td>
<td>15.7 ± 2.9</td>
<td>15.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at first use of cannabis, y</td>
<td>17.0 ± 3.6</td>
<td>15.2 ± 4.1</td>
<td>t = 2.03, df = 85, p = .05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCID alcohol dependence or abuse, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (15.2)</td>
<td>23 (36.5)</td>
<td>χ² = 6.28, df = 1, p = .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCID cannabis dependence or abuse, n (%)</td>
<td>19 (41.3)</td>
<td>44 (69.8)</td>
<td>χ² = 9.48, df = 1, p = .003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axis IV psychosocial problems, n</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>t = 3.14 df = 100, p = .002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS symptom scores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive symptoms</td>
<td>23.1 ± 5.1</td>
<td>25.0 ± 4.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative symptoms</td>
<td>20.6 ± 6.8</td>
<td>22.0 ± 6.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General psychopathology symptoms</td>
<td>39.3 ± 8.1</td>
<td>44.6 ± 8.9</td>
<td>t = 3.17 df = 107, p = .002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD.
* A higher score indicates poorer premorbid functioning.

Discussion

Rates of arrest and incarceration were very high in this sample, representing an important problem that merits focused attention in both research and policy. Patients with a history of incarceration had completed fewer years of education and had poorer premorbid, late adolescence academic functioning than those who had not been incarcerated. In relation to substance use, patients who had been incarcerated reported an earlier age at initiation of cannabis use, and history of incarceration was significantly associated with the presence of alcohol and cannabis dependence or abuse at the time of initial hospitalization. Incarceration was also associated with a greater number of Axis IV psychosocial problems and a greater severity of PANSS general psychopathology symptoms, both indicating poorer functioning among those having been incarcerated.

Although the rates of incarceration are very high in this particular first-episode sample, it should be noted that the present sample is composed largely of young, African-American males, many of whom had not completed high school, which places them at increased risk of incarceration. Indeed, one review found that more than 90 percent of prisoners are men, that prison inmates average less than 12 years of completed schooling, and that incarceration rates are about eight times higher for African Americans than for Caucasian Americans.25 Whereas concerns have been raised about high rates of violence during the prodrome (i.e., the period of nonspecific psychiatric symptoms that typically precedes psychosis) and duration of untreated psychosis,26 only 14.0 percent who were incarcerated in the present sample reported being charged with a violent crime (assault, domestic violence, or child abuse). An additional 7.5 percent reported weapons charges, but these were primarily possession or concealment, not use, of a weapon. This result indicates that any increased occurrences of violence in first-episode patients are not the main reason for the high rates of contact with the criminal justice system. This finding is consistent with that of Lovell and colleagues,10 who noted that while repeat incarcerations are frequent, only two percent are for serious violent felonies.

A previous incarceration in our sample is a marker for a poorer prognosis at the time that treatment is initiated, resulting from higher rates of comorbid substance use disorders, greater severity of general psychopathology symptoms, and more psychosocial problems. Furthermore, a legal record represents a significant barrier to recovery, given that these young
patients typically have not yet established gainful employment. Employers are much more averse to hiring ex-offenders (even misdemeanants) than most other groups. The stigma associated with a history of incarceration, combined with that related to serious mental illnesses, such as schizophrenia-spectrum disorders, may compound other barriers for this population (e.g., less schooling) to make employment very difficult to obtain. In addition, under federal regulations, individuals with criminal records are not eligible for public assistance programs such as Section 8 housing, nor are their family members eligible for Section 8 housing if they reside together. In short, first-episode patients who have been detained face enormous barriers to establishing independent lives.

In a literature review, Nielssen and colleagues found that individuals are most likely to commit violence toward themselves or others during the early course of a psychotic disorder, and that this risk is reduced after receiving treatment. They made a case for taking symptoms into account when trying cases of violence and even appealing convictions of individuals who committed crimes during their prodrome, if the emerging disorder was a factor in their actions. They argue that this would reduce the long-term negative consequences of early convictions on individuals who, once stabilized, may pose little or no further risk of violence. A similar fresh start may be warranted for other charges and would clearly reduce the long-term barriers to recovery for individuals with an emerging psychotic disorder.

The present analysis has several limitations. First, only cross-sectional, retrospective data were collected, which precludes any ability to temporally map incarcerations onto the development of the emerging psychotic disorder or to make causal inferences about reported associations. However, given the dearth of research on this topic among first-episode patients, cross-sectional studies represent an important first approach to understanding a complex problem. More detailed measurement of incarceration would be beneficial, especially an assessment of the timing of incarceration in relation to the onset of premorbid functional decline, prodromal symptomatology, and evolving psychotic experiences. Second, the data
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collected were based on self-report, both for past incarcerations and some other variables, such as past substance use. Objective verification could validate the findings and provide further information about the number, date, and reason for prior arrests and incarcerations. However, self-report is widely used and research supports the utility of self-report measurement of substance use and criminal history, despite known limitations.41–44

Third, the sample represents a relatively demographically homogenous group of patients in an urban, public-sector hospital, and is largely African American. The relative homogeneity of the sample limits generalizability of the findings to other populations of first-episode patients. Yet, studying these questions among predominantly low-income, socially disadvantaged, urban African Americans is an important research goal, given the lack of previous studies from the United States and the underinvolvement of such populations in psychiatric research in general. The current data provide a compelling argument for further research into these intricate problems.

Future investigations should extend this field of inquiry into other populations, to elucidate the interaction between socioeconomic disadvantages, membership in different racial and ethnic groups, and the emergence of symptoms. Future studies should also clarify the temporal association between contacts with the criminal justice system and the emergence of symptoms in these young adults. In addition, research into the role of poor academic performance as an antecedent of both incarceration and prodromal symptoms would be beneficial. Schooling settings could be a critical point for effective prevention strategies. Along these lines, the societal benefits of efforts to prevent the initiation of substance abuse are indisputable.45 Studies of the longer term outcomes of incarceration among individuals with a mental illness are also warranted, as are interventions to detect and treat individuals with a psychotic disorder on contact with the criminal justice system and during incarceration. Finally, more research into the role of policy in driving or preventing high rates of incarceration among individuals with a psychotic disorder is critical.
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