A Novel Approach to Training Police Officers to Interact With Individuals Who May Have a Psychiatric Disorder
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Police and law enforcement providers frequently come in contact with individuals who have psychiatric disorders. Repeated studies suggest that greater understanding of psychiatric conditions by police officers would be beneficial. However, few training approaches have been examined. We present a novel approach to training police officers to interact with those who may have a psychiatric disorder. This approach involved development of a program in which police officers interacted with actors highly trained to present one of six realistic psychiatric scenarios. Confidential feedback was given, both by experienced police officers and by the actors, to improve awareness of the officers’ behavior. Qualitative feedback from both officers and actors was used to determine the acceptance of role-play training. A total of 663 police officers were trained, with feedback from 381. Results showed that this approach was well accepted by most police officers, and the use of carefully controlled role play in training for police is strongly recommended. Future analysis will determine whether training improves police behavior with respect to interaction with mentally ill individuals.


Individuals with various psychiatric problems, including addictions, depression, and schizophrenia, have an increased probability of coming into contact with the police. However, it has long been recognized that police officers lack confidence in their interactions with this group, which may in part be due to insufficient knowledge about mental illness. Most police forces have some training on psychiatric conditions, but the types of training vary widely in nature, design, duration, and timing (whether during police academy instruction or after graduation).
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To date, there has been very little research about the best training approaches.

Research has suggested that interventions can change reported attitudes toward individuals with mental health problems and that training can help police officers feel more informed and confident in how to support individuals in mental distress. However, the best methods for educating the police force remain uncertain. Although training seminars have increased knowledge about specific psychiatric conditions, they may not change attitudes or behavior, just as specific training on de-escalation techniques may not decrease the number or severity of physical interactions between individuals with mental illness and health care providers.

One method that may help is to improve empathy, although how best to achieve such improvement remains uncertain. Training in crisis intervention for police officers has also been studied and may increase the ability to recognize and respond to individuals in crisis, reduce the stigma of those with mental illness, and increase empathy for these indi-
There has also been research suggesting that improving police officer skills in dealing with individuals who may have psychiatric conditions results in fewer arrests. In addition, more reliable methods have been developed to measure attitudes and intended behavior of police officers toward individuals with psychiatric conditions. These methods will help future studies in this area.

One widely used method of improving interactions between police officers and those with mental illness has been the Crisis Intervention Team approach. The most widely used variant is a voluntary 40-hour program that “provides law enforcement based crisis intervention training for helping those individuals with mental illness” (Ref. 12, paragraph 3). However, although this approach is widely used and the results of some studies have suggested that it may reduce hospitalization rates, a review examining its effectiveness suggested relatively few benefits, and others have been even more critical of the approach.

Other approaches include an attempt to address specifically the stigma of mental illness and to challenge established views held by police officers that link psychiatric disorders to violence. This approach involved two separate two-hour workshops combining small group approaches with didactic presentations, and the results showed some benefit, although not in the linkage between psychiatric disorders and violence. Another approach, which occurred following a review by the California State Legislature, led to further analysis by law enforcement professionals who suggested that an 8-hour program could be very useful if well designed such that it is action oriented and hands-on, although specific details of the possible benefits of this approach do not appear to have been published to date.

In terms of the best approach to training police officers, techniques used in other adult learning environments may also be appropriate. In medical training, it is now well documented that the use of role play with simulated patients is preferable over classroom learning. As well, “students appear to enjoy and learn through their active participation . . . and appear more engaged” (Ref. 18, pp 269–270). The use of role play in police training, nonetheless, has rarely been studied, and the only study to date suggested that role playing should be secondary to small group discussions and videos, although it did not clarify the nature of the role playing involved or whether the part of individuals with psychiatric conditions was played by other police officers.

The evidence gathered to date show that it is widely accepted that training police officers to interact better with individuals who may have psychiatric disorders is important. Nonetheless, there are no currently accepted models that appear to have reproducibly positive outcomes. Given the need for such training, we created a novel approach in which a series of six carefully scripted interactive scenarios was developed incorporating highly trained actors serving as the subjects, followed by feedback and group discussion. We describe this training, including problems encountered during these sessions, and examine qualitative feedback from police officers and actors about its impact and acceptability.

**Methods**

Both the University of Alberta Research Ethics Board and the Edmonton Police Service’s Chiefs Committee approved this research. Written informed consent was received from all members of the Edmonton Police Service (EPS) who took part in the study.

The study sample was EPS officers who were taking part in quarterly training programs \( n = 663 \). Qualitative feedback from online surveys of officers \( n = 381 \) and the professional actors \( n = 9 \) is described. The participants were asked to detail their opinions anonymously regarding the mental health role-play training. The officers averaged 32 years of age, more than 80 percent had been officers for less than 5 years, and nearly 75 percent had some form of postsecondary or graduate training (Table 1).

Police officers were trained in groups of 30 to 50 individuals during nearly 20 separate training sessions over a two-month period.

**Scenarios**

The six scenarios represented a variety of situations in which police officers are likely to be involved (Table 2). They were as follows: depressed suicidal female with a reported overdose; alcohol intoxication with likely medical problems; possible psychosis; depressed and actively suicidal individual; mania with possible drug use and physical symptoms; and domestic dispute precipitated by problem gambling. Each scenario had a series of components for everyone involved, explaining the key goals and problems and providing feedback for the police officers. These
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One of the aspects of this training that not all police officers found comfortable and that was unique among previously described training regimens: feedback was provided by the actors after each scenario. The feedback was focused, not on police behavior or tactics, but primarily on empathic and emotional factors (i.e., how the police officer made the actor feel) and how the officer could have acted differently, usually in terms of body language, to improve his show of empathy. Informing officers of their behavioral and verbal strengths and weaknesses was intended to increase their insight into the most appropriate ways of communication. The types of actor feedback revolved around the actors’ complimenting good forms of body language and attentiveness (i.e., active listening with patience and focused attention, a calm and still body, eye contact, and open forms of body language). An example of actor feedback is shown in Table 4, which also demonstrates the specific features that were recorded in each scenario. It should be noted that, for each scenario, there were two actors present, one who took part and

scenarios had to be realistic and reproducible. Great care was taken in developing them to ensure that they were authentic from both psychiatric and police viewpoints. An example is given in Table 3.

### Table 1  Demographics of Police Officers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sex, n (%)*</th>
<th>Age at time of first questionnaire, M (SD)</th>
<th>Years of experience as a police officer, n (%)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>253 (81.6)</td>
<td>3.98 (3.92); range, 1–31 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>57 (18.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31.96 (6.31); range, 21–57 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education, n (%)+</td>
<td>82 (27)</td>
<td>100 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>180 (58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post secondary</td>
<td>48 (15.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>5 (1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0–2 years 140 (45.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3–5 years 111 (36.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6–10 years 46 (15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11–15 years 5 (1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Over 15 years 5 (1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n = 312.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Two officers left this question blank.
† Two officers left this question blank.
‡ Five officers left this question blank.

### Table 2  Summaries of the Six Role-Playing Scenarios

**Depressed female**

Police respond to a call from a man stating that his ex-girlfriend called him and said she was going to take an overdose. She then hung up the phone. According to the ex-boyfriend they broke up one week ago, after she found out he was cheating on her. They had been together for one year before that. He had found her moody during their relationship, and he knew that when she was younger she had taken an overdose. Her parents, who normally call or visit daily, have just left on a long-planned vacation and are currently out of town. He wants the police to check on her, as she has no other family around and has no roommates.

**Alcohol intoxication with likely medical problems**

Police have been called to a shop on a busy street, as there is a man lying on the street outside in the early evening. The shopkeeper says that the subject looks as though he is intoxicated. No other information about the subject is available, except that the subject just moved to the city recently.

**Possible psychosis**

Police attend to a noise complaint at an apartment. The neighbors have called in that somebody is being extremely loud in the apartment above them, and they are concerned for their safety. The neighbors have left the premises because they have felt threatened in the past by this individual.

**Depressed and actively suicidal individual**

Police get a call from a concerned individual stating that his friend is severely depressed and is contemplating suicide. The friend lost his job two months ago and his wife left him a week ago, taking their two children. The reporter states that the subject was at the reporter’s home making threats that he was going to kill himself on account of his not being able to provide for anyone in this economic crisis, his concern about losing his wife and family, and his feeling that there was no point in living. The reporter also states that the subject may have a weapon at his house. The police are told that the subject is still at the reporter’s home. When they arrive, the door to the reporter’s home is wide open but the reporter is gone.

**Mania with possible drug use and physical symptoms**

A call has been made to the police by a shopkeeper about a young woman (or man) who is outside their store and is disruptive. The shopkeeper also states that there is a known drug house just down the street. The person looks high and is also disrupting traffic by walking in the middle of the road. Police are met by a loud and very talkative subject.

**Domestic dispute precipitated by problem gambling**

The police are asked to attend to a disturbance. The dispatcher informs the police that a domestic dispute is occurring and that the neighbor reported it. The police enter the scene and find a man and woman screaming at each other. Swearing is persistent, and aggressive verbal behavior is evident on arrival, but there is no evidence of physical contact or violence. The couple seem to be arguing over a gambling problem. The police knock and ask to come inside.
one who observed. The actors rotated through the
two roles during the day, allowing each scenario to
remain consistent without actor burnout. Both the
participating and observing actors gave feedback.

Police officers also received reviews from multiple
sources including senior police officers, civilian
members of the EPS, and specialized individuals who
formed part of the Police and Crisis Team (PACT).
This group is a combination of police officers, psy-
chologists, and other staff specializing in treatment
of individuals with psychiatric illness. An example
of senior police feedback is shown in Table 5, which
also demonstrates the specific features that were ex-
amined for each scenario. Thus, there were multiple
levels of feedback after each scenario that addressed
different aspects of the officer’s behavior. The goal of
the training was to increase officers’ self-awareness of
behavior and to help them respond positively to in-
dividuals by increasing communication and rapport
with those with whom they interact.

**Daily Schedule**

Each training day started with an explanation of
the goals of the day. Emphasis was placed on active
listening skills such as paraphrasing, emotional label-
ing, mirroring, minimal encouragers, summarizing,
and the beneficial use of silence. Other interpersonal
skills that police officers were told would be evalu-
ated were the ability to develop rapport with the
subject, the ability to de-escalate situations, the use of
appropriate body language, and the ability to dem-
onstrate empathy. In addition, the officers were told
how the actors were going to give them feedback. If at
any time the actor-officer interaction escalated into
conflict, the experienced supervisory police officer
would determine whether the scenario should be
stopped.

Pairs of officers would go through each scenario,
with six simultaneous scenarios being run in different
locations within the same building. Each police offi-
cer would rotate through the six scenarios during the
day. At the beginning of each scenario, police officers
would receive information similar to that given to
them before they attend a call, and they would then
proceed with the scenario. After each scenario, there
was a debriefing with feedback from the supervising
police officer, psychiatric staff (when present), and
actors.

The intensive instruction that was necessary to
bring all staff to the level where a successful training
program could be carried out took place over two
months. The work involved in developing such a
group should not be underestimated.

**Measurements of Training**

After each scenario, the actors and the psychiatric
supervisors evaluated the experience on a Likert rat-
ing scale, and the results were summarized for each
training day. The scores ranged from 1 (strongly un-
satisfactory training day) to 4 (strongly satisfactory
training day). This assessment included several fac-
tors, such as how officers received the instruction,
their professionalism, and how they interacted with
the psychiatric and civilian participants. Police offi-
cers also assessed each day’s level of satisfaction, using
the same scale to determine if training improved after
both actors and facilitators became more familiar
with the program.

After every day of training, each police officer was
offered the opportunity to give qualitative anony-
rous feedback online. The survey evaluated four
major factors regarding the training: the benefits of
the session, the level of acceptance of the scenario
method, the facilitator feedback, and overall training
satisfaction.

The actors were offered the same opportunity after
the final day of training. Feedback from actors de-
scribed how they interacted with officers and facili-
tators, emphasizing what worked and what improve-
ments were needed for future training. These were all
explained in both positive and negative illustrative
quotations.

**Results**

Of the 663 officers who participated in the mental
health training, 381 completed the online survey,
and of those, 312 completed the demographic data
(Table 1).

**Reported Training Benefits**

In the individual feedback, the police officers re-
ported that having actors in each scenario was the
most beneficial factor in training (22%), followed by
the use of role-playing scenarios (19%), having the
group discussion (15%), getting feedback from the
facilitators (14%), getting feedback from the actors
(13%), the debriefing discussion (10%), the pre-
briefing introduction (4%), and scenario questions
(2%). The response of the police officers was gener-
Scenario: Depressed Female

Police respond to a call from a man stating that his ex-girlfriend called him and said she was going to take an overdose. She then hung up the phone. According to the ex-boyfriend they broke up one week ago after she found out he was cheating on her. They had been together for one year before that. He had found her moody during their relationship, and he knew that when she was younger she had taken an overdose. Her parents, who normally call or visit daily, have just left on a long-planned vacation and are currently out of town. He wants the police to go check on her, as she has no other family around and has no roommates.

Subject
The subject is disheveled, possibly having drunk alcohol, as there are empty bottles around. She has poor hygiene (dirty clothes, messy hair, no makeup) and takes a minute to open the door. Upon opening the door and letting the police in, the subject exhibits a depressed mood and seems not to care about anything going on around her. She will only respond to empathetic questions but constantly insists that she is fine and there is nothing to worry about. She admits that she took some pills, but says that she had taken only a couple of Tylenol and nothing else. There are two half-empty pill bottles lying around, but none is completely empty, and she says that they weren't taken as part of the overdose. There is a note on the subject’s bedside saying goodbye to her parents. She will not want to go to the hospital and if directly asked, the subject admits that she has attempted suicide before. She makes vague utterances, such as “I will never have to worry about that anymore,” and “You will not have to try and help me much longer.” She refuses to be future oriented. She also gives indications of major depressive disorder (low mood, increased crying, poor sleep, decreased appetite, loss of energy, poor concentration, social withdrawal, low self-esteem, feeling worthless, no view of the future, being fed up with life, and suicidal ideation), with the exact symptoms described above.

Building skill in interviewing subject to extract key knowledge.

Empathetic communication to increase bonding and trust.

Recognition of elements of depression and gaining knowledge of symptoms.

Obtaining knowledge of the Mental Health Act, specifically whether use of it is appropriate.

Required equipment
- Baggy clothes
- Blanket
- Empty pill bottles

What would be expected from background information check?

There is a history of one suicide attempt, and police were called when it occurred.

Scenario questions: given to each pair by the facilitator

Which mental illness, if any, are you dealing with?

What specific factors signify that it is this illness?

Are there any factors in this situation that suggest it may be a high-risk or a low-risk situation? If so, what are they?

Does the presence of empty alcohol bottles signify anything about the risk level? Should the subject be hospitalized, and if so for what reasons?

Scenario answers

Major depressive disorder, with thoughts of suicide.

Answers to questions (if these questions are asked by the officers): they may not have asked any of the questions regarding low mood, increased crying, poor sleep, decreased appetite, loss of energy, poor concentration, social withdrawal, low self-esteem, feeling worthless, no view of the future, being fed up with life, and suicidal ideation.

If a note is left behind, then the individual has taken the time to tell the people she cares about that she will no longer be around and has clearly planned the attempt to some degree. Her planning may make it a higher risk situation, more likely to succeed, and most likely indicates the need for examination in a hospital.

Suicide attempt appears to have been planned to occur when no one was around.

If she plans to commit suicide when no one is around, it can suggest a higher degree of planning and greater determination. In contrast, if a suicide attempt is spontaneous or occurs while others are around, it may lower the risk of a successful attempt.

Suicide has been attempted before, according to background information check and history obtained from boyfriend.

If suicide has been attempted before, chances are it will be attempted again. One of the most accurate predictors of a successful suicide is past attempts. Therefore, always note a history of attempted suicide as an important risk factor.

The presence of alcohol may not indicate, by itself, a lower or higher risk, although some studies have shown suicides in which no alcohol was present have a higher risk of death. Therefore, the presence of alcohol should not be taken as a sign of risk for suicide. Additionally, this subject should be taken to hospital under the Mental Health Act, as she is a threat to herself for the reasons given. Also, Tylenol overdose is a common cause of death due to liver toxicity, so that alone would be a reason to take her for a medical opinion.

(Continued)
ally very positive (Table 6) with perhaps the most important finding being that nearly 50 percent strongly agreed with the statement, “I will implement the knowledge and skills learned from this course in my everyday duties.”

### Increased Acceptance of Training

Throughout the training, a comparison was made between the police officers’ level of satisfaction and that of the actors on a Likert Scale from 4 (strongly...
satisfied) to 1 (strongly dissatisfied) during each training day. The purpose of the comparison was to detect both the police officers’ and actors’ views of each training day and to track the level of training day improvement from start to finish. The results showed that both groups had statistically significant agreement about the success of each training day, with a correlation coefficient of $r = 0.468$ ($p = .043$). Results also showed a positive stabilization of training satisfaction after the midpoint of training, as shown in Figure 1. The overall mean for police officer satisfaction throughout the training was 3.4 (SD 0.2), and the mean for actor satisfaction was 3.2 (SD 0.7).

Comments about the acceptance of training progressively improved. The officers were asked to describe the level of opportunity that the scenarios provided for learning about mental health, active listening, and body language. They were also advised to state any new concepts learned through scenario practice and feedback. Throughout the beginning sessions of training, they had mixed opinions involving the benefits of actor feedback, with comments largely more negative than positive. For example, one officer stated, “I thought the use of actors was a good idea; however, their feedback was unrealistic and unnecessary.” Another officer affirmed, “The feedback from the actors was beneficial for being more aware of how our approach can make people feel. In saying that, certain comments on our tactics were frustrating, as they did not know how we are trained.” Despite these initially negative statements, comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Mean Rating</th>
<th>% Responding Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facilitators were professional, treating everyone with respect</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning objectives were clear to me</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The facilitators helped in my learning</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The training day was successful in explaining the subject matter to me</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I will implement the knowledge and skills learned from this course in my everyday duties</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The training met my expectations</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The scenarios gave me sufficient practice and feedback</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The scenarios gave me an opportunity to learn about mental health, active listening, and body language</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The scenario facilitated my learning, allowing me to apply new concepts</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$n = 381$. Based on Likert-type scale: 4, strongly agree; 3, somewhat agree; 2, somewhat disagree; 1, strongly disagree.
about the actors’ feedback eventually became consistently positive. For instance, one officer stated,

A lot of these scenarios were the same as what I have dealt with on the street. I found that I was consistent with how I was dealing with people with challenges. The feedback I received from both actors and training staff was positive and [I received] reinforcement that I was going down the correct road when dealing with these individuals.

Near the end of the training, another officer opined:

The idea of using trained actors is an outstanding one. It resulted in a scenario, which is as close as it could possibly be to an actual call. The actors took their roles seriously and they immersed themselves completely into their parts. I also found that during the debrief, the actors possessed a good deal of knowledge concerning the behaviors, characteristics and for lack of a better word, eccentricities of those suffering from mental illnesses. This knowledge was very effectively parlayed into an incredibly authentic feeling scenario. The actors provided a very valuable perspective that we historically haven’t been able to capture.

Thus, the officers became more accepting of the training as time went on.

**Consistent Facilitator Feedback**

The officers consistently reported the facilitators’ involvement as positive when asked to comment on the level of professionalism and respect conveyed throughout training. One officer stated on the first training day, “As always, members of training staff were professional, knowledgeable, and prepared.” Another officer, on the last training day noted, “It was helpful to get feedback from the facilitators as they were able to critique the scenario. Good feedback was given by all facilitators.” Throughout training, the officers’ comments about facilitators were consistently positive, with the majority affirming that they had “nothing negative to say about facilitators” and that the “facilitators were professional and helpful.”

**Consistent Overall Training Satisfaction**

Just as feedback regarding facilitators was consistent, overall satisfaction with the training remained consistently positive, with minor negative comments throughout. As one officer explained early in training, “I like the idea of feedback and doing scenarios to assist with our abilities on the street. It was great to have both an inside police perspective and a civilian perspective of how we conduct our investigations. Effective learning.” Another officer emphasized, “I can honestly say, one of the best training days I have ever attended,” and later stated, “It’s easy to conclude this training will create better police officers.”
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the end of the training days, comments such as, “This was an excellent training day that provided as close to real-world situations as possible while maintaining a safe environment. The actors were extremely professional and their input and feedback was very beneficial for the learning objectives. Excellent work by training section in implementing this training,” and, “Going into this [training] I did not think it was going to be useful at all. The idea of having actors tell me how I made them feel seemed silly. However, once we got started I quickly found value in having the actors and the group discussions. This training day was very good.” Another officer reported, “[The training] exceeded my expectations. I can see how people that did not do well in these scenarios would criticize it negatively. I hope we can do more scenarios with actors.”

Officers also described the instant benefits of the training: “Great day; of particular note, a member of my squad mentioned several days later that they had gone to a call ‘exactly like one of the scenarios.’ As such, they were able to reference what they learned in achieving a successful outcome.” Finally, one officer said:

I very much enjoyed the training day. I truly believe that we can gain more results with the public through the use of our mouths rather than fists. Although it is important to have a strong understanding in control tactics, I feel the majority of my interaction with the public rests on how I interact with them verbally rather than physically. I am grateful that we were given a day to practice our communication with the public. I especially felt it beneficial to have actors relay their perspectives and feelings to us. I feel having an “outside” perspective really gives us, as police, a better view as to what and how our “cliental” view us.

Thus, most of the comments emphasized the importance of training through this novel experience.

**Negative Statements**

Although reaction to the training was largely positive, negative comments were given, mainly describing the need for more information about mental illness: “scenarios were good practice; however, a little more background into the different types of mental disorders would have been beneficial rather than finding out after the fact and just briefly touching on some of the symptoms exhibited”; and the belief that this training is not for veteran members: “[the mental health] training day was good for a one- to two-year member.” There were comments dismissing everything taught: “I have attempted to use the skills presented to me by the facilitators and actors in everyday mental health calls. So far, none of the tools provided to me have worked, and actually made situations worse and more difficult”; remarks on the length of the training day: “I believe this could have been condensed into a half a day. Six scenarios were too many”; and opinions (n = 5) about actor feedback: “I found it difficult to wrap my head around an actor explaining how their character felt. A schizophrenic would not likely think or feel in any way similar to what the actors ‘felt’ and this somewhat made the scenario’s unrealistic.” Despite these negative opinions, the positive comments heavily outweighed the negative ones.

**Actor Feedback After Training**

For individual feedback, the actors were given questionnaires after training (n = 9).

Feedback from the actors was generally very positive (Table 7), with important feedback emphasizing that 78 percent of the actors strongly agreed with the statements: “The officers treated me with respect throughout the training” and “The facilitators were professional and treated all of the actors with respect.” Despite this, only 33 percent of the actors strongly agreed with the statement that “It was easy to give feedback to the police because [the actor] felt prepared and knowledgeable in what [the actor] was wanting to say.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Mean Rating</th>
<th>% Responding Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The officers treated me with respect throughout the training</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The facilitators were professional and treated all of the actors with</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>respect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel that most officers regarded me and my fellow actors as a crucial</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>part of the training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have an overall positive outlook on police after being in contact</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with police for approximately 2 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The training days were a success when looking at the overall</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outcome of every day in its entirety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It was easy to give feedback to the police because I felt prepared</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and knowledgeable in what I was wanting to say</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on Likert-type scale: 4, strongly agree; 3, somewhat agree; 2, somewhat disagree; 1, strongly disagree.*
The actors’ opinions improved over time. One stated, “I felt especially nervous about giving feedback at the beginning of training; however, I eventually grew into giving it.” Another confirmed that, “I think almost all of the actors would agree that the back half ran much better than the front half, due to the feedback given by all parties involved through the training process.”

There were minor problems regarding the way the officers treated the actors, “For the most part, the sworn-in members did treat us with respect; some of the officer trainees, however, I felt did not.” An actor commented:

> There were a select few [officers] who didn’t treat the training seriously; however, all of the other officers were amazing. They were respectful and listened to my feedback. One officer even asked us questions about our scenario conditions and empathy demonstrating how much he respected our knowledge and opinions.

Despite this, the view of the training as a whole was consistently positive. An actor opined, “I really do believe this kind of work can have such a positive impact on the way the police are viewed by the public. I know my opinions have changed drastically, just over the two-month period!” From another actor, “I enjoyed the experience. I feel overall the training was a success. We even had a squad leader who was negative coming in say that he had been converted and found the training beneficial.”

Regarding the training goals, another actor stated positively:

> The overall outcome was great. I feel the officers did learn some important points about mental health. Regarding empathy, I feel the officers were able to take home some important points from the experience. I also feel the relationships and interactions they had with the actors, as civilians, may have helped to personalize some civilians and I hope they take this understanding with them into everyday interactions.

The actors’ recommendations for future training emphasized two factors: improve actor training for giving effective feedback and have a consistent police facilitator throughout each scenario, who forms a mutually respectful relationship with the actors. The first recommendation was constantly brought up:

> I think the actor feedback is by far the aspect of the training that needs to be improved most. It was very rarely that I felt my comments were actually being taken seriously from the officers, and I often felt uncomfortable giving feedback, knowing that they weren’t listening and/or didn’t care.

Another actor responded, “Information we, as actors, relayed to the police (both the trainees and sworn-in members) carried, inherently and predictably, less significance and weight than information relayed by other police officers.” Regarding the recommendation that facilitators stay constant, in the scenarios where this occurred, there was a significant increase in the ability of the actors to give meaningful feedback to the officers and their remarks appeared to be better accepted. “I found, with [our consistent facilitator’s] guidance, our scenario evolved over the duration of training and our feedback became stronger for the officers with all we had learned.” The same actor later stated, “[The] regular facilitator made the environment feel safe and I felt comfortable giving feedback; conversely, with the alternate facilitator I felt intimidated when giving feedback. I was very qualified to give feedback; yet, my confidence in giving feedback was entirely different dependent on the facilitator.” This opinion was supported by another actor, who said:

> When feedback was dismissed or mocked, the outcome very much depended on a) the presence of a supervising officer and his/her attitude to the feedback in question, and b) the assertiveness, comfort level, and skill of the facilitator. When facilitators are constantly changing, they are not able to understand the scenarios fully, and thus inconsistencies arise.

The actor went on to confirm a way for improvement, “Quality and consistency of feedback was best when actors had a few moments to discuss particular concerns, experiences, thrills, etc., when needed, with the facilitator before the feedback session.”

Problems with the Scenarios and Responses to Feedback

Police culture influenced the attitudes and opinions of each police officer. Emotional insight through training is unique, and it is not currently addressed in any other training sessions. In particular, the police officers had never known how they made others feel. In this context, it was of interest that feedback from the nonsworn members (i.e., nonpolice individuals, including actors) was not as well received as that from the more senior police officers. One actor described it thus, “In very few cases, some of the interactions among the officers and the actors in the scenarios made me feel as though certain officers held a sense of nonrespect and superiority over civilians.” It was also noted that older officers found accepting feedback to be a less positive experience and showed a greater tendency to dismiss the actors’ opinions. This reaction was shown by the
comments from officers who thought that the training was of no benefit to them; however, they believed that “junior members would benefit more” from it.

Each scenario provoked specific responses, the most frequent of which are shown, by scenario, in Table 8.

### Discussion

Although training of police officers about mental illness is becoming more widespread throughout the world, there is little documentation describing in detail what methods should be developed to produce consistent, high-quality, effective training. The present article presents details of the questions and positive aspects of the development of this novel training program. The experience revealed needs to be clarified through future research. There should be additional research to ensure that the positive outcomes and approaches used to create an effective mental health training system for police officers are maintained over time.

The method is a novel approach, using actors trained in a variety of realistic psychiatric scenarios depicting real-world experiences of police officers. The training was a time-intensive program with the future goal of changing the behavior of police officers and an emphasis on actor and officer response over time.

The present study involved a larger cohort than most \((n = 663)\), although online responses were obtained from only 58 percent \((n = 381)\). The results overall were very positive, with the training regimen being well received by the officers, and nearly 50 percent strongly agreeing that the training would change their interactions with persons with mental disorders. Whether this actually occurs, however, will require additional research to determine. An additional benefit from the viewpoint of the police officers, who took time away from their usual work, was that the training lasted only a single day.

The primary negative aspects of the training course were the significant time and cost of implementation and the resources involved. A large amount of training time was involved, both for the police department and the actors. The results also suggested that the effectiveness of the training increased with time, which would be consistent with the increasing comfort of the actors and supervisors with the training as they gained more experience. This outcome suggests that, particularly where many police officers or other law enforcement individuals are being trained, a group could be committed for a longer period and could retain the experience. However, the costs were significant. We chose to have two professional actors present for every scenario every day; one would observe, the other would participate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario Challenges</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depressed female</td>
<td>If an arrest is made immediately, informing the officers that the ambulance will not arrive for 10 minutes will instigate communication with the subject.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intoxication with likely medical problems</td>
<td>Inform officers that it is crucial that they know about this medical problem, as it could save lives if caught early enough.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible psychosis</td>
<td>Have a constant facilitator in this scenario.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressed and actively suicidal individual</td>
<td>Suggest that this individual cannot escape and therefore there is no need to arrest immediately and cause further stress in the situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mania with possible drug use and physical symptoms</td>
<td>Change the scene to a different location following the complaint of walking on the street.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic dispute precipitated by problem gambling</td>
<td>Active listening and patience can divulge more information than if the situation is aggravated by an arrest.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
directly, and then they would switch. It is uncertain how necessary having two actors is; using a single actor would decrease the cost. Using rates from published agreements with actors, and training 30 officers per day, the cost amounts to only $60 per officer when one professional actor is present in each scenario. It should be noted, however, that this cost does not include setup and preparation, and it also does not include having police supervisors involved in the training session, because they were full-time members of the training section of the EPS, and no additional costs were actually incurred.

Despite the negative implication of cost, the positive outcomes were such that this form of interactive, hands-on, role play training, in which police officers learn from actors who become very experienced in the specific scenario, does seem quantitatively different from other forms of training recommended to date. Future research should determine the effectiveness of this training method by measuring the interaction of police officers with the mentally ill and quantifying the cost versus benefit. A cost-benefit analysis is very important, given the significant costs of the program for large police forces. This area is an important one for future research, as the ability to show that such training is cost-effective is critical. Similarly, evidence that such training influences on-going attitudes and behaviors is also important, and subsequent research should be conducted to examine this subject.

In conclusion, this novel training method focused on increasing the communication skills of police officers, particularly on improving active listening and empathy. Feedback on the experience from both officers and actors was very positive. Further research is needed to determine the impact of the training on behavior.
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