Behavioral Variant of Frontotemporal Dementia and Homicide in a Historical Case
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Criminal behavior is a clinical feature of the behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD), ranging from socially inappropriate behavior and minor offenses (such as shoplifting, driving-related violations, housebreaking, trespassing) to the more extreme acts of sex crimes and violence. To our knowledge, no homicide case involving bvFTD is well illustrated in the scientific literature, and only a few anecdotal annotations are available about bvFTD and homicide. This is surprising considering the inclination of individuals with bvFTD to lack impulse control, to manifest disinhibition, to display diminished emotional awareness and loss of empathy, and to show behavior indicative of disordered moral reasoning. Here, we describe the 19th-century homicide case of Benjamin Reynaud, a man whose clinical characteristics suggest the bvFTD diagnosis. Reynaud’s case may represent a rare instance of homicide committed by an individual with bvFTD and provide a basis for some reflections regarding the relationship between homicidal behavior and bvFTD.
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A significant inclination to crime has been described in individuals with a diagnosis of a behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD), the most common clinical phenotype of frontotemporal lobe degeneration. Persons with bvFTD often demonstrate a slow decline in social interpersonal conduct and regulation of personal behavior along with a lack of insight and emotional blunting. Execution-related cognitive deficits in inhibitory control, flexibility, abstract reasoning, decision-making, and goal-oriented behavior are often evident in individuals with bvFTD. Structural and functional imaging studies have shown that bvFTD involves a large network of structures including the dorsolateral prefrontal and orbitofrontal cortex, anterior insula, anterior cingulate and adjacent medial prefrontal cortices, amygdala, striatum, and thalamus. To date, a diagnosis of bvFTD is based primarily on clinical diagnostic criteria, while brain-imaging and genetic data are considered supportive criteria. In 2011, the International Behavioral Variant of Frontotemporal Dementia Criteria Consortium established the criteria for diagnosis of bvFTD. Currently, there are no known treatments to stop or reverse the progression of bvFTD. Some medications have been useful in regulating and controlling behavior, including antidepressant and antipsychotic medications and even intranasal oxytocin.

In the last decade, the literature indicates that transgression of social norms and criminal behavior
are more frequent in individuals with a diagnosis of bvFTD compared with other forms of dementia (e.g., Alzheimer’s disease, vascular dementia, Lewy body disease). Among them are the extensive systematic studies in Europe by Diehl-Schmid et al.,8 in North America by Liljegren et al.,9 and in Asia by Shinagawa et al.10 These data are supported by less systematic investigations that have reported a high incidence of criminal violations among such individuals.6,8,11–16 It is estimated that 37 to 57 percent of those with a diagnosis of bvFTD have committed a crime or acted inappropriately.8–10,13,15 An inclination to crime can sometimes be the early sign of bvFTD,9,17; in addition, individuals with bvFTD interact with the police more frequently during the course of their disease compared to those with Alzheimer disease.18

The crimes that are most likely to be observed in individuals with bvFTD range from poor awareness of and adherence to social boundaries (e.g., theft, traffic violations, trespassing) to unlawful acts (e.g., indecent behavior, willful damage to property, shoplifting, house-breaking, physical assault, sexual harassment, pedophilia). To our knowledge, no individuals with bvFTD who have attempted or committed homicide have been described within the scientific literature, either in group studies or as a single case. This finding was unexpected because the behavioral disinhibition, the poor physical impulse control, and the progressive decline in judgment and empathy are already known in individuals with bvFTD. In Liljegren et al.,9 more than 6.4 percent of subjects with bvFTD exhibited physical or verbal violence, and in four percent of them violence was one of the first symptoms of the disease.

Reported Cases

Currently, only a few anecdotal annotations are available about frontotemporal lobe degeneration and homicide. In a retrospective medical record review study from 36 departments for geriatric psychiatry in psychiatric state hospitals in Germany, Ibach et al.19 found 33 patients with a clinical diagnosis of frontotemporal lobe degeneration, of whom one had also committed a homicide. The authors noted that: “...the presence of a patient who committed a homicide emphasizes that forensic psychiatry could be involved in frontotemporal lobe degeneration diagnosis, prognosis, and associated legal questions” (Ref. 19, p 261).

In another study, Hatem et al.20 reported three patients with dementia each of whom had killed their wives. For one of them, a 90-year-old man, the diagnosis of frontotemporal dementia was made on the basis of: a computed tomography scan showing diffuse cortical and subcortical atrophy predominantly in the frontal regions; a neuropsychological exam highlighting dysexecutive deficits; and the presence of psychiatric symptoms such as excessive jealousy, delusions of persecution, and hallucinations.

In 2013, the case of J.G., a 41-year-old American man, was reported because he fatally shot his 64-year-old mother and then took his own life. A diagnosis of Pick’s disease (i.e., a subtype variant of frontotemporal lobe degeneration that greatly affects behavioral control) was invoked to explain J.G.’s personality changes. The man had a significant family history for Pick’s disease given that his father, brother, and several other family members had died of this neurodegenerative disorder.21

In 2014, A.S., a 40-year-old American man affected by both bvFTD and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (a comorbidity that is well known within the literature22,23) killed his wife and sister-in-law.24 Jurors found him not legally responsible for the killings due to mental illness.24

In 2015, Adonis Sfera, a psychiatrist at Patton State Hospital in Orange County, California, described a patient with murderous intent in the context of the Alzheimer Networking for a Cure, a forum dedicated to the criminal actions of patients with frontotemporal lobe degeneration.25 The patient was a 59-year-old woman who suddenly bought a gun and shot her neighbor in the shoulder. She was convicted of attempted murder, sent to prison, and then transferred to Patton State Hospital because of her mental symptoms. A positron-emission tomography scan revealed frontotemporal hypometabolism, and a diagnosis of bvFTD was made. Based on the diagnosis of probable bvFTD, a court permitted her release to a lower care setting.25

In 2018, a murder trial in the United Kingdom attracted widespread media attention. M.G., an 84-year-old English woman, fatally stabbed her 85-year-old husband with a kitchen knife, once in the chest and twice in the back, without apparent reason.26,27

---
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Following medical and neuropsychological examinations, a diagnosis of bvFTD was made. The judge, recognizing the presence of the disease, sentenced M. G. to detention at a specialist hospital.26,27

In addition to these cases of homicide or attempted murder, some authors have reported cases of frontal-temporal lobe degeneration in patients’ homicidal threats or homicidal statements (such as “sniping people”).28,29 Finally, we note the case discussed by Dunlop and Lemmen,30 in which a bvFTD diagnosis was put forward in an unsuccessful appeal of a murder conviction and death sentence in Florida.

In the following section, we utilize a historical case to deal with such a modern but neglected topic. We describe a 19th-century homicide case in which clinical characteristics are suggestive of bvFTD. This case could represent a rare instance of homicide by an individual with possible bvFTD and provides grounds for contemplating the relationship between bvFTD and violent crime, such as homicide.

The Case of Benjamin Reynaud, 1861

In 1868, the French physician and criminologist, Prosper Pierre Constant Despine (1812–1892) published a report31 of a case of folie morale produite par de graves modifications survenues dans le cerveau [moral madness produced by serious changes in the brain] (Ref. 31, pp 598–603). The case report described Benjamin Reynaud, a 67-year-old man who previously worked in the magistracy as an employee, who was charged with murder for killing his own daughter and trying to murder her fiancé in their house on November 4, 1860. The case and trial were extensively described in a daily newspaper, L’Indépendance Belge, on March 26, 1861, and in the British magazine The Spectator on March 30, 1861. Short historical notes also appeared in an 1869 issue of The Annals of Our Time, a British daily journal of events from abroad, and in the March 25, 1944, section To-day and Yesterday of The Solicitors’ Journal, a law journal edited in London. From these journalistic notes emerged a clear interest in the case, even if the abnormal behavioral aspects of Benjamin Reynaud are captured only in L’Indépendance Belge.

In 1875, the Austro-German psychiatrist and neuropathologist Richard Freiherr von Krafft-Ebing (1840–1902), who pioneered the systematic study of sexually inappropriate disorders, included the case of Benjamin Reynaud in his textbook Psychopathology32 as an example of homicide due to a progressive impairment of moral sense because of a senile dementia.32 He described the case as follows (translation from German by the authors of this article):

In March 1861, the Court of Grenoble tried Mr. Reynaud, a 67-year-old man, charged with the murder of his own daughter. Raynaud’s behavior had been impeccable until some years before; he was considered a model of morality, religiosity, and a good family man. However, gradually his behavior manifested a deep and inexplicable change. He had gone from being a prudish and respectable man to a debauched womanizer where one might look in vain for a trace of shame. Regarding the reasons of this change, no other hypothesis could be made except that of a senile involution of his brain, which can sometimes lead to a moral transformation of the individual. Reynaud’s life in recent years was characterized by sexual excesses; he lived together with one woman but at the same time he carried on a sexual relationship with a young 26-year old woman. The letters he wrote to the latter were full of depravity; he made her obscene proposals; the passion and sexual desire his expressions were impregnated with are hard to be found even in a young man. Reynaud’s exaggerated sexual instinct was confirmed by the testimonies of 20 other woman with whom he usually had sexual relationships over recent years. The crime that led him to trial at the Assize court was to have killed his daughter, not out of moral outrage, out of jealousy. He fired a gunshot at the lover who was shot in the back as he tried to escape through a window and then killed his daughter with a dagger. When the lover ran to assist her, he found her in agony. While he prayed over her, the murderer indulged in contemplating his daughter’s naked breasts, saying: “What a beautiful piece of woman she was! What a beautiful girl!” Reynaud allowed himself to be arrested with the greatest indifference, nor did he show later second thoughts or regret. He sat down in the dock with a self-satisfied attitude, while sniggering, and he, with total indifference, listened to his sentence that condemned him to life with hard labor (Ref. 32, pp 169–70).

As reported by the newspapers of the time and from the documentation obtained at the Court of Grenoble (see Fig. 1 for an example of the original document), we know that, earlier in his life, Benjamin Reynaud went to l’Île-Saint-Denis de la Réunion, where he became a magistrate’s clerk dealing with duty and taxes, an important career position in the colony that allowed him to enjoy a substantial income. While there, Reynaud married and had five children.

His conduct had been impeccable, and he was described as a good and educated man, considered to be a moral and religious example and an excellent family man. No criminal record, mental disorders, neurologic disorders, alcohol abuse or other medical affliction appear in his past history. He retired in 1847, when he was 53 years old.
On entering his sixties, Reynaud settled in a country house at Clary, near Grenoble. During this period, his manifest behavior gradually underwent a profound and baffling change, including increasingly promiscuous sexual behavior. Reynaud’s hypersexuality was also confirmed.
by the approximately 20 mistresses with whom he had sexual relationships during recent years and who testified to his “erotic madness” during the trial.

His wife knew that he was being unfaithful, and she eventually left him to return to her married daughters in the colony of Saint Denis. One of the daughters, Madame Gardilanne, separated from her husband and went to live with her father in Clary. Reynaud started an incestuous relationship with the daughter; at the same time, he had brought a girl into his home and was also having a relationship with another young woman, Madame Baudrand, the wife of a notary. To the latter, Reynaud sent letters that were full of peculiarities and sexual references that, as von Krafft-Ebing points out: “... would have been barely tolerated even if written by a young boy” (Ref. 32, pp 169–70). Reynaud learned that his daughter was in a relationship with Mr. Lobinhes, a young man who was responsible for local stamp duties and had recently arrived in the area. Moved by pathological jealousy, Reynaud wanted to kill his daughter, her lover, and then himself. He had a dagger and two pocket pistols that were already loaded with a single shot by the clerk from the store where he bought them. On November 4, 1860, he surprised the couple while they were at home. The young man asked forgiveness and urged Reynaud not to commit such a horrific crime, which he would prised the couple while they were at home. The he bought them. On November 4, 1860, he sur-

Discussion

At the time of Despine’s report, the frontotem-

oral variant of dementia had not yet been defined. It was only in 1892 that the Czech neuropsychiatrist Arnold Pick (1851–1924) described a case with behavior and language disturbances associated with a predominantly left frontotemporal degeneration at postmortem examination. Pick regarded the patient as a person with a variant of senile dementia (see Ref. 35 for Pick’s major contributions to the study of behavioral neurology).

Although Despine described only a generic senile dementia in Reynaud’s case, he included the case in the section of organic rather than psychiatric diseases. In the 19th century, it was customary to consider murderous acts as caused by psychiatric disorders (e.g., melancholia with the delusion of persecution, psychopathy) or by neurological diseases (e.g., alcohol abuse, epilepsy). The sudden behavioral change and Reynaud’s disproportionate sexual attitude led Despine to hypothesize a degenerative brain disease. This hypothesis clearly indicates that Despine
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conceptualized an organic, rather than functional, origin of the behavioral changes observed in Reynaud.

Today we can recognize in Reynaud’s abnormal behavior some clear elements in favor of possible bvFTD. First, he had a major personality change (criterion I-A for bvFTD) characterized by socially inappropriate behavior, namely increased and inappropriate sexual activity (i.e., loss of decorum and behavioral disinhibition; criterion II-A for bvFTD). An early change in lifestyle in the previous two to three years is one of the primary criteria for the diagnosis of bvFTD, and sexually uninhibited behavior or hypersexuality is also a frequently reported symptom in bvFTD. Secondly, although we cannot precisely establish the evolution of Reynaud’s uninhibited disorder, it did not seem to diminish over time, and it is conceivable that it remained consistent over the years, leaving open the hypothesis of a clinical progression. Thus, Reynaud’s case fulfilled all three A-level criteria of the International Behavioral Variant of Frontotemporal Dementia Criteria Consortium (e.g., inappropriate social behavior; loss of manners and decorum; and impulsiveness, rashness, or unhealthiness). Reynaud’s case also presented additional aspects suggestive of bvFTD, such as the incapacity to recognize his abnormal sexual behavior and relationships, the absence of remorse for the criminal acts he committed, the emotional bluntness he manifested during the trial (criterion II-C for bvFTD), the inappropriate comments he made, and the difficulty in planning goal-directed behavior.

In our opinion, two points need to be discussed further to allow the reader to understand fully the Reynaud case. First, Reynaud’s failed effort to kill Lobinhes can be interpreted as indicative of bad aim. Despite being undoubtedly true, it is necessary to keep in mind that his social behavior declined during the years that preceded the homicide, demonstrating a behavioral fracture between the man he was before (i.e., a model of morality, religiosity, and a good family man) and the man he was at the moment he committed the crime (i.e., a sexually depraved man). Indeed, the motive for the crime was pathological jealousy toward the daughter, a motive that should be considered within the constellation of bvFTD-related symptoms because his jealousy was not present earlier in his life, the years that we can now consider premorbid. Here, it is important to note that pathological jealousy is a known and well-recognized symptom of bvFTD. Secondly, the homicide was premeditated because he wanted to kill the daughter, the daughter’s lover, and himself. For this reason, he bought the dagger and the pistols. The fact that the crime was premeditated does not imply that it was well planned. In Reynaud’s case, poor planning and organizing are evident. For instance, he did not plan what he was going to do with the couple, he failed to anticipate that the young man would try to escape, he did not arrange to kill the stronger victim first, and so on. Moreover, when the young man came back, Reynaud did not try to finish the job. All of the available evidence thus converges in supporting the hypothesis that Reynaud was experiencing bvFTD at the time he committed the crimes.

Alternative diagnoses appear less likely in Reynaud’s case. For example, in late-onset schizophrenia-like psychosis, the most prevalent symptoms at the onset are paranoid and persecutory delusions, which are not present in Reynaud’s history, where only delusions of jealousy are reported. A diagnosis of a late-onset bipolar illness seems unlikely in light of the absent sense of guilt and the inappropriateness of Reynaud’s comments. The hypothesis of homicide due to a slowly developing cerebral tumor involving the prefrontal cortex is also unlikely. Over the course of six to seven years after the onset of behavioral symptoms, Reynaud did not exhibit neurological disorders usually associated with cerebral tumors (e.g., epilepsy attacks, contralateral functional motor deficits, language disorders in the case of a left-sided cerebral lesion, etc.).

Moving from the Reynaud case, we provide some preliminary and speculative explanation of why homicide is a rare occurrence in bvFTD. One possible explanation is that, due to the degenerative process of the prefrontal cortex, the task of committing a homicide may be too difficult for individuals with bvFTD because of their inability to use executive functions adaptively, especially with regard to regulating one’s goal-directed behavior. Poor executive functioning leads individuals with bvFTD to act mainly on the basis of environmental factors. In provocative situations, environmental dependency behaviors can trigger an aggressive and impulsive response. Impairment in executive functions limits the ability of individuals with bvFTD to commit premeditated murders, which, to be carried out successfully, require good planning abilities, the ability to anticipate possible
problems, and the ability to react appropriately to unexpected circumstances.

Executive functions are presumed to be mediated predominantly by the dorsolateral region of the prefrontal cortex. Some studies have suggested that goal-directed premeditated murders are committed by offenders who present an intact capacity to regulate executive control processes. This is consistent with some positron-emission tomography studies that identified no differences in prefrontal glucose metabolism of planned homicide offenders compared with normal control subjects. Recent evidence suggests that premeditated murderers exhibit largely intact functioning across neurocognitive domains, which is not the case in individuals with bvFTD.

Another explanation is that an impulsive murder could be unlikely for individuals with bvFTD because of their difficulty in experiencing a wide range of strong emotions, an aspect present since the early phase of the disorder, probably due to the bilateral involvement of the insulae and subcortical structures such as the caudate, putamen, and hippocampus. This is relevant because impulsive murder is linked, by definition, to a triggering of emotion (i.e., rage or fear). Despite this topic being extremely complicated, it is likely that the emotional blunting frequently observed in individuals with bvFTD might reduce the likelihood of impulsive aggression. We can speculate that the emotional component can differentiate impulsive murder from other impulsive crimes frequently committed by individuals with bvFTD, such as sexual assault or social rule violations (i.e., traffic violation, public urination). Indeed, these other crimes are triggered by physical urges (e.g., sexual arousal in the case of sexual assault, or need for urination in the case of public urination) or by the inability to modulate behavior in accordance with environmental factors (e.g., inability to modulate speed coming to a traffic light, or inability to inhibit the urges to take what is wanted, etc.). It is thus possible to speculate that blunted emotions can affect the ability of individuals with bvFTD to commit impulsive murders, while not affecting impulsive crimes of another type that are not linked with emotions but with general disinhibition. This reasoning might explain why impulsive homicides are indeed frequently observed in individuals with bvFTD.

Yet another explanation of why homicides are under-reported in individuals with bvFTD is that some offenders in bvFTD-related homicide cases may have been misdiagnosed with psychiatric disorders. More than one study points out the difficulty in distinguishing bvFTD from possible psychiatric illness because bvFTD can mimic a psychiatric clinical picture; moreover, psychiatric symptomatology can co-exist with frontotemporal lobe degeneration. Health care providers are not usually familiar with bvFTD, and they can misdiagnose it as bipolar disorder or late-onset schizophrenia. On this subject, Sfera et al. argued that patients with bvFTD can be found in correctional institutions due to difficulty in distinguishing bvFTD symptoms from a psychiatric disorder. Despite both bvFTD and late-onset psychosis being potentially relevant for insanity evaluation, the fact that bvFTD cases might have been misdiagnosed as psychotic ones may explain why homicide is under-represented in bvFTD.

Finally, even if it is not known if an insanity evaluation was requested in Reynaud’s case, insanity might be a relevant consideration for individuals with bvFTD today who commit homicide. The legal implications and suggestions for dealing with aspects of the behaviors induced by bvFTD have been widely discussed elsewhere. It is important to highlight that the literature does not suggest that the identification of bvFTD in a defendant charged with crimes could per se preclude criminal responsibility. Whether or not a defendant diagnosed with bvFTD should be held responsible needs to be assessed cautiously on a case by case basis. This assessment should address how neurobiological deficits affect the individual’s capacity to control behavior, to evaluate rationally what is wrong and to decide to act accordingly, to feel emotionally the moral wrongness of an action, to recognize if actions are illegal or immoral, to understand the consequences of one’s own action, to evaluate correctly and respond appropriately to verbal feedback from others, etc. Although this evaluation will vary according to the legal standard of each jurisdiction, we strongly suggest that it follow the cognitive model of free will. According to this model, free will is manifested in an individual who can envision different behavioral choices, select the most appropriate one, inhibit unwanted behaviors, understand whether the behavior is socially and morally approved, and understand nonverbal emotional feedback of others.
Conclusions

Individuals with bvFTD are often relatively young and socially active, and they can commit criminal acts without being able to modulate or govern their instinctive urges sufficiently. These individuals may be guilty of unlawful acts against public morals, good manners, etc., but they rarely seem to engage in aggressive deadly behavior, and the causal link with homicide is a very rare event. Here we have reported the historical case of Benjamin Reynaud with a possible diagnosis of bvFTD. This historical case adds to a small number of acutely reported cases. On the basis of the crime dynamics, it follows that Reynaud acted in a confused, impulsive, and disorganized state and was unrepentant, feeling no remorse or emotions. We conclude that homicide is a rare extreme event in individuals with bvFTD because of their reduced planning capacity and difficulty in organizing emotion-driven behavior. Future research is required to explore these hypotheses. In addition, it remains to be established whether some offenders charged with homicides and presenting behavioral symptoms might have been diagnosed with psychiatric disorders rather than bvFTD. These would have led to an under-estimation of the percentage of homicides committed by patients with bvFTD.
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