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Definitions of Journal Sections:
Editorial
An editorial is an informed opinion on a current topic in forensic psychiatry. Length of text is 2,000–3,700 words, excluding tables, figures, and references. An abstract is not required. An editorial represents the opinion of the author and does not represent opinions or statements of AAPL or The Journal editors or Editorial Board. Editorials do not undergo blind peer review, but are reviewed internally by editorial staff. Proposals for editorials should be discussed with the Editor.

Biography
A biography highlights an individual who has made sustained important contributions to psychiatry and the law in any country. Length should not exceed 3,700 words, excluding tables, figures, and references. Proposals for biography should be discussed with the Editor. Biographies do not undergo blind peer review, but are reviewed internally by editorial staff. An abstract is not required.

Regular Article
A regular article is original scholarship in psychiatry and the law. Length should not exceed 6,000 words, excluding the abstract, tables, figures, and references. Regular articles undergo blind peer review. An abstract of no more than 200 words is required.

Analysis and Commentary
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Reflections and Narrative
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Legal Digest
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Books and Media
Book and media reviews are assigned by the Books and Media Review Editor. Those wishing to submit a review should contact the Books and Media Review Editor. Reviews generally should not exceed 1,000 words. A
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Letters to the Editor
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Manuscripts are considered for publication with the understanding that their essential substance has not been published previously and has not been submitted simultaneously to other publications.

Manuscripts for Regular Articles and Analysis & Commentary articles are submitted online at: www.manuscriptmanager.net/jaapl.

Submissions to all other departments and inquiries and correspondence may be directed to the Journal Coordinator. The Journal Editorial Office address is: One Regency Drive, P.O. Box 30, Bloomfield, CT 06002. The Journal Coordinator is Sara Elsden (Tel. 800-331-1389; e-mail: selsden@ssmg.com).

Respect for Persons
The Journal’s commitment to respect for persons is reflected in the use of person-first language, e.g., “person with mental illness”; “person diagnosed with [disorder].” Expressions such as “victim of [disorder],” “suffer from [disorder],” or “the mentally ill” are not used as they are perceived as stigmatizing. Editors reserve the right to make edits to conform to this practice. Submissions may also be returned for revision before peer review for correction of such language.

Ethics in Research
For investigations involving human or animal subjects, the authors must state in the Methods section that an appropriate institutional review board (IRB) approved the project or exempted the project from review. A copy of the IRB letter should accompany the manuscript. Authors without access to an IRB are encouraged to discuss alternatives with the Editor. The Methods section should also describe the manner in which informed consent was obtained from the subjects.

Case Reports
The Journal’s concern for respect for persons extends to individuals’ right to the privacy of their life stories. The author’s interests, and even the readers’ potential interest in a case, must be balanced against the weight of the subject’s interests and The Journal’s interest in demonstrating respect for all subjects’ privacy and dignity. Case reports will be considered only if they are: central to the scholarly endeavor of the article; illustrate important dimensions of the discussion; and are submitted with the written informed consent of the subject(s), are in the public domain, or do not divulge data that would identify the subject(s) to others. Composite or fictionalized case reports will be identified as such in the manuscript and the editors will discuss the applicability of these requirements with the authors.

Technical Requirements
Manuscripts should be submitted in the following order:
1. Title page: Title; author(s) (first name, middle initial, surname, and degrees). Title page should also include all author position titles and affiliations; grant acknowledgment (if applicable); any necessary disclaimers (e.g., “The views expressed in this article do not necessarily reflect the official DVA policy”); and address for correspondence, including email address (required).

2. Abstract: when required, one-paragraph summary of 200 words or less, no subheadings
3. Text
4. Acknowledgments (if any)
5. Appendix or Addendum (if any)
6. References should be numbered consecutively with Arabic numerals as they appear in the text (number each source citation as a separate item; but the same reference number may be cited more than once in the text). In general, the style for references, tables, and figures should conform to the specific reference formats listed in the next section. When quotations are included in text, the specific page number of the reference must be given. References are limited to citations. The Journal style precludes the use of footnotes or endnotes. Authors utilizing reference management software should select Vancouver as the standard style appropriate to The Journal.

7. Figure legends should be numbered with Arabic numbers in order of appearance in the text. For each figure, a separate file of at least 300 dpi resolution must be submitted.
8. Tables should be numbered with Arabic numbers in order of appearance in the text.

Reference Format
The following styles are required for references:

Journal Article:
For journal articles with up to four authors, list all authors. When there are five or more authors, list the first three plus et al.

Chapter in book:

Authored Book:
Griffith EEH. Race and Excellence: My Dialogue with Chester Pierce. Iowa City, IA: University of Iowa Press; 1998

Edited Book:

In press:
Articles or books accepted for publication and not yet published in any form should be considered in press.

Published Ahead of Print:
Articles electronically published ahead of print should give the online citation for the article, including DOI.

Symposium:
Marino JD. Probate court perspective on involuntary medication to restore competence to stand trial in Connecticut. Presented at: Forensic Grand Rounds, Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services; 2019 May 29; Middletown, CT

Newspaper article:

Unpublished thesis or dissertation:

Film:
Kurosawa A. Rashomon [motion picture]. Kurosawa A, director. Japan: Daiei Film; 1950

Broadcast:

Personal communication citations should be included in the text as follows:
(Smith A, personal communication, November 2020)
Authors are responsible for obtaining and preserving written permission for the personal communication to be cited in the article.

Court cases:
Robbins v. California, 453 U.S. 420 (1981) [For U.S. Supreme Court cases, use the U.S. Reporter and note only the year in parentheses. If a U.S. Reporter reference is not available, then use the Supreme Court Reporter and note only the year in parentheses (i.e., 101 S. Ct. 2841 (1981)).]
Valle v. City of Houston, 613 F.3d 536 (5th Cir. 2010) [For federal cases other than the U.S. Supreme Court, use the Federal Reporter and note both the jurisdiction and the year in parentheses. If the case is not reported, then use either the LexisNexis or Westlaw citation and note both the jurisdiction and the year in parentheses.]
Cobbs v. Grant, 502 P.2d 1 (Cal. 1972) [For all state cases, use the Regional Reporter and note both the jurisdiction and the year in parentheses. If a Regional Reporter reference is not available, then use the State Reporter and note both the jurisdiction and the year in parentheses (i.e., 104 Cal. Rptr. 505 (Cal. 1972)). If the case is not reported, then use either the LexisNexis or Westlaw citation and note both the jurisdiction and the year in parentheses.]
In re Estate of VanHorne, 305 So.2d 46 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1974) [This is another illustration of a state case; if the Regional Reporter were not available for this case, it could be referenced as 1974 Fla. App. LEXIS 7374 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1974)].

Law review:

Legal note:
Note, The establishment clause and the chilling effect. Harv L Rev. 2020;133:1338–1359

Statute: Date in parentheses should be the date of the most recent published version of the statute in effect at the time in question in the case.

Internet:
Note: authors are expected to retain and be able to provide copies of documents that are accessible only at websites.

Title Originally Published in a Foreign Language:
Foreign language titles should use the original language title, with an English translation following in brackets.

Wernicke C. Grundriss der Psychiatrie in Klinischen Vorlesungen [Foundation of Psychiatry in Clinical Lectures]. Leipzig: Fischer & Wittig; 1900
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